

## Re: link about Chet and the CA Dental Board

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.med.dentistry/2005-05/msg01150.html>

---

- *From:* [clintonz@xxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:clintonz@xxxxxxxxxxx)
  - *Date:* 24 May 2005 10:15:44 -0700
- 

Bill wrote:

> ClintZ wrote:

>

> > Was Chet Y fired from his post?

> If by "post" you mean his Board position, the answer is no.

>

> As "chair" of the two-person committee, he completed his committee  
> work. His proposal was not acceptable to the larger board, which then  
> appointed a larger committee, with a new chair.

Well, it seems to me that if the committee objected to Chet's scientific statements on amalgam and wanted to promote a different, more amalgam friendly version they would also do the same thing. Why was the fact sheet "unacceptable", I would definitely like to see a copy of it.

>

> If he considers this a "firing," he has to remember that ALL such  
> committees are merely temporary creations of the larger Board, and  
ALL  
> such committee members might consider themselves "fired" when the  
> specific committee work is done.  
>

He seems to imply that he was given no notice that his work was "unacceptable". Also the implication is that an alternate fact sheet "suddenly" appeared with no scientific basis for rejecting his sheet.

> By that reasoning, we should consider ALL the members of the larger  
> committee to have "fired" themselves too -- as the committee work was  
> finished a year ago.

I don't really know what is typical or lawful when it comes to state committees. Usually though congress does take the recommendations of its subcommittees. It doesn't reject say the findings of a certain committee on and appoint a new one

Re: link about Chet and the CA Dental Board

until the committee produces the desired result.

Key here is WHY the board rejected Chet's sheet. If it is because they did