

Re: Mercury-Free Bandwagon Gathers Pace

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med.dentistry/2005-08/msg01797.html>

- *From:* Robert Morien <PhD_failure@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 26 Aug 2005 23:15:46 -0700
-

In article <[p4QPe.69386\\$084.30730@attbi_s22](mailto:p4QPe.69386$084.30730@attbi_s22)>, "LadyLollipop" <LadyLollipop@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

> "Robert Morien" <PhD_failure@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
> <news:PhD_failure-B86580.19090726082005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
>> In article <1125098273.139236.181810@xx>,
>> clintonz@xxxxxxxxxxxx wrote:
>>
>>> billkatz wrote:
>>>> Huh?
>>>>
>>>> October issue of the NEJM [NEJM, 349(19):1731-1737, Oct 2003]. The
>>>> article is entitled "The Toxicology of Mercury - Current Exposures
>>>> and Clinical Manifestations."
>>>>
>>>> (Pages 1732-1733), "Patients who have questions about the potential
>>>> relation between mercury and degenerative diseases can be assured that
>>>> the available evidence shows no connection."
>>>>
>>>> I said that the NEJM had reported "finally" that amalgam was the
>>>> largest exposure of elemental Hg in the population, probably in that
>>>> article, though it could be a different recent one.
>>>>
>>>> Yes that (probably) same article was pretty brutally criticized for then
>>>> concluding that exposure to Hg was really no big deal after all.
>>>> did you read the entire article to see if what i said was true or
>>>> just take irrelevant snippet to deflect my main point?
>>>>
>>>> It was basically a defensive, biased "summary of the literature", not
>>>> a research piece, by pro-Hg (and nearly senile) 60's throwback
>>>> toxicologist and dental industry gun Clarkson IIRC.
>>>>
>>>> You might think it illogical that Clarkson or the NEJM could admit
>>>> that amalgam was the largest source of elemental Hg (do they have any
>>>> choice?) then turn around and say but look "no problem". A lot of other
>>>> people felt that way too! On the other hand what are the dentists and
>>>> doctors supposed to do, publish an article which says, look we've been
>>>> exposing people to high levels of Hg and endangering their health all

Re: Mercury-Free Bandwagon Gathers Pace

- > >> these years?
- >>
- >> So are you Pro NEJM?
- >
- > Are you, Robert?
- >
- > Just what is your interest here?

To bash spammers and correct mistakes.

• **Follow-Ups:**

- ◆ **Re: Mercury-Free Bandwagon Gathers Pace**
◇ From: LadyLollipop
- ◆ **Re: Mercury-Free Bandwagon Gathers Pace**
◇ From: clintonz

• **References:**

- ◆ **Mercury-Free Bandwagon Gathers Pace**
◇ From: Keith P Walsh
- ◆ **Re: Mercury-Free Bandwagon Gathers Pace**
◇ From: clintonz
- ◆ **Re: Mercury-Free Bandwagon Gathers Pace**
◇ From: billkatz
- ◆ **Re: Mercury-Free Bandwagon Gathers Pace**
◇ From: clintonz
- ◆ **Re: Mercury-Free Bandwagon Gathers Pace**
◇ From: Robert Morien
- ◆ **Re: Mercury-Free Bandwagon Gathers Pace**
◇ From: LadyLollipop

- Prev by Date: **Re: Implant question..**
- Next by Date: **Re: Faradaic Activity in Dental Amalgams**
- Previous by thread: **Re: Mercury-Free Bandwagon Gathers Pace**
- Next by thread: **Re: Mercury-Free Bandwagon Gathers Pace**
- Index(es):
 - ◆ **Date**
 - ◆ **Thread**