

Note to Robert Morien

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med.dentistry/2005-09/msg01368.html>

- *From:* "LadyLollipop" <LadyLollipop@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 14 Sep 2005 00:08:48 GMT
-

I had deleted them, but had not deleted the folder, so here you go, all three.

Received: from smtp.inreach.com ([209.142.2.36])
by sccqmx92.asp.att.net (sccqmx92) with SMTP
id <20050913053025q9200k7407e>; Tue, 13 Sep 2005 05:30:25 +0000
X-Originating-IP: [209.142.2.36]
Received: (qmail 5149 invoked from network); 13 Sep 2005 06:09:05 -0000
Received: from [208.25.62.167] (HELO 208-25-62-167.stk.inreach.net)
(208.25.62.167)
by smtp.inreach.com (qpsmtpd/0.28) with SMTP; Mon, 12 Sep 2005
23:09:05 -0700
From: Robert Morien <PhD_failure@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
To: "LadyLollipop" <LadyLollipop@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
Subject: Re: Faradaic Activity in Dental Amalgams
Newsgroups:
sci.med.dentistry,sci.physics.electromag,sci.physics,sci.materials
References: <PhD_failure-C2EC0D.01180506092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
<rvkuh1l0or4gi1jichluur62p6kvkccolj@xxxxxxx>
<PhD_failure-0C2C75.15195707092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
<PdKTe.315138\$x96.35427@attbi_s72> <Sue.1v0i1y@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
<bo29i15p9ee36fail7ekvt9is5aftftnu9@xxxxxxx>
<1126473632.653316.47500@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
<4m4ai1p0n7ma7t4qd8e46bnil5danshhnt@xxxxxxx>
<PhD_failure-21EEF9.23284411092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
<5E9Ve.345031\$xml3.307508@attbi_s21>
<fijai1hpq8cade25ng7rv00pkc9sqlkpk@xxxxxxx>
<qUmVe.325137\$x96.224368@attbi_s72>
<PhD_failure-4FE6FD.17082912092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
<yEpVe.236179\$N3.189115@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
<G6qVe.325493\$x96.71481@attbi_s72>
Posted-And-Mailed: yes
User-Agent: MT-NewsWatcher/3.4 (PPC Classic)
Date: Mon, 12 Sep 2005 22:30:21 -0700
Message-ID: <PhD_failure-5F0FE4.22302112092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

In article <G6qVe.325493\$x96.71481@attbi_s72>,
"LadyLollipop" <LadyLollipop@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Note to Robert Morien

> "carabelli" <huerter@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
> [news:yEpVe.236179\\$5N3.189115@xx](mailto:news:yEpVe.236179$5N3.189115@xx)
>>
>> "Robert Morien" <PhD_failure@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote
>>
>>>
>>> what is your position on tampons. I need to know before I can answer
>>> anything.
>>
>> There is a distinct difference between the message and the messenger.
>> There are a few messengers here that deserve all they get, and there are
>> a
>> few that deserve being ignored.
>>
>> carabelli
>
> It would like to be ignored that mercury amalgams are indeed dangerous.
> Dan
> like to ignore the truth. Dan was caught LYING. Dan would like to ignore
> the
> *truth*, just as ALL the dentists here, except Steve Bornfeld.
>
> The truth is Robert is here to harass, so he fits in well with Dan.

I only harass spammers.

Do you feel harassed?

Received: from smtp.inreach.com ([209.142.2.36])
by sccqmx92.asp.att.net (sccqmx92) with SMTP
id <20050913052949q9200k4hile>; Tue, 13 Sep 2005 05:29:49 +0000
X-Originating-IP: [209.142.2.36]
Received: (qmail 5138 invoked from network); 13 Sep 2005 06:08:29 -0000
Received: from [208.25.62.167] (HELO 208-25-62-167.stk.inreach.net)
(208.25.62.167)
by smtp.inreach.com (qpsmtpd/0.28) with SMTP; Mon, 12 Sep 2005
23:08:29 -0700
From: Robert Morien <PhD_failure@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
To: "LadyLollipop" <LadyLollipop@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
Subject: Re: Faradaic Activity in Dental Amalgams
Newsgroups:
sci.med.dentistry,sci.physics.electromag,sci.physics,sci.materials
References: <PhD_failure-C2EC0D.01180506092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
<rvkuh1l0or4gi1jichluur62p6kvkccolj@xxxxxxx>
<PhD_failure-0C2C75.15195707092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
<PdKTe.315138\$x96.35427@attbi_s72> <Sue.1v0i1y@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
<bo29i15p9ee36fail7ekvt9is5aftftnu9@xxxxxxx>
<1126473632.653316.47500@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
<4m4ai1p0n7ma7t4qd8e46bnil5danshhnt@xxxxxxx>
<PhD_failure-21EEF9.23284411092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
<5E9Ve.345031\$xml3.307508@attbi_s21>

Note to Robert Morien

<fijai1hpq8cade25ng7rv00pkc9sqlkpi@xxxxxxx>
<qUmVe.325137\$x96.224368@attbi_s72>
<PhD_failure-4FE6FD.17082912092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
<yEpVe.236179\$5N3.189115@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
<G6qVe.325493\$x96.71481@attbi_s72>
Posted-And-Mailed: yes
User-Agent: MT-NewsWatcher/3.4 (PPC Classic)
Date: Mon, 12 Sep 2005 22:29:46 -0700
Message-ID: <PhD_failure-3E5E9C.22294612092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

In article <G6qVe.325493\$x96.71481@attbi_s72>,
"LadyLollipop" <LadyLollipop@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

> "carabelli" <huerter@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
> news:yEpVe.236179\$5N3.189115@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
>>
>> "Robert Morien" <PhD_failure@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote

>>
>>> what is your position on tampons. I need to know before I can answer
>>> anything.
>>
>> There is a distinct difference between the message and the messenger.
>> There are a few messengers here that deserve all they get, and there are
>> a
>> few that deserve being ignored.
>>
>> carabelli
>
> It would like to be ignored that mercury amalgams are indeed dangerous.
> Dan
> like to ignore the truth. Dan was caught LYING. Dan would like to ignore
> the
> *truth*, just as ALL the dentists here, except Steve Bornfeld.
>
> The truth is Robert is here to harass, so he fits in well with Dan.

And you don't call what you do harassing? Please define harassment so we
can all understand.

Received: from smtp.inreach.com ([209.142.2.36])
by sccqmx93.asp.att.net (sccqmx93) with SMTP
id <20050913052644q930039800e>; Tue, 13 Sep 2005 05:26:44 +0000
X-Originating-IP: [209.142.2.36]
Received: (qmail 5068 invoked from network); 13 Sep 2005 06:05:24 -0000
Received: from [208.25.62.167] (HELO 208-25-62-167.stk.inreach.net)
(208.25.62.167)
by smtp.inreach.com (qpsmtpd/0.28) with SMTP; Mon, 12 Sep 2005
23:05:24 -0700
From: Robert Morien <PhD_failure@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
To: "LadyLollipop" <LadyLollipop@xxxxxxxxxxxx>

Note to Robert Morien

Subject: Re: Faradaic Activity in Dental Amalgams

Newsgroups:

sci.med.dentistry,sci.physics.electromag,sci.physics,sci.materials

References: <1h2dzeo.e8vq1f1ba96mjN%stove99pipe@xxxxxxxx>

<Sue.lux0oo@xxxxxxxxxxxx>

<1125992435.465797.94100@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

<PhD_failure-C2EC0D.01180506092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

<rvkuh110or4gi1jichluur62p6kvkccolj@xxxxxx>

<PhD_failure-0C2C75.15195707092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

<PdKTe.315138\$x96.35427@attbi_s72> <Sue.lv0i1y@xxxxxxxx>

<bo29i15p9ee36fail7ekvt9is5aftftnu9@xxxxxx>

<1126473632.653316.47500@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

<4m4ai1p0n7ma7t4qd8e46bnil5danshnt@xxxxxx>

<PhD_failure-21EEF9.23284411092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

<5E9Ve.345031\$xml3.307508@attbi_s21>

<PhD_failure-3F6BD1.14345812092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

<r0nVe.326222\$o.311376@attbi_s71>

<PhD_failure-B51906.17074612092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

<fepVe.325305\$x96.150355@attbi_s72>

Posted-And-Mailed: yes

User-Agent: MT-NewsWatcher/3.4 (PPC Classic)

Date: Mon, 12 Sep 2005 22:26:37 -0700

Message-ID: <PhD_failure-003C20.22263712092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

In article <fepVe.325305\$x96.150355@attbi_s72>,

"LadyLollipop" <LadyLollipop@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

> "Robert Morien" <PhD_failure@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message

> news:PhD_failure-B51906.17074612092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

>> In article <r0nVe.326222\$o.311376@attbi_s71>,

>> "LadyLollipop" <LadyLollipop@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

>>>

>>>> "Robert Morien" <PhD_failure@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message

>>>> news:PhD_failure-3F6BD1.14345812092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

>>>>> In article <5E9Ve.345031\$xml3.307508@attbi_s21>,

>>>>> "LadyLollipop" <LadyLollipop@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

>>>>>>

>>>>>>> "Robert Morien" <PhD_failure@xxxxxxxx> wrote

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> The real question is: Keith, why aren't you going to the physics

>>>>>>>> department of your local college/university and asking them this?

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> The real questions are Robert: What is*your* position on the safety

>>>>>>>>>> of

>>>>>>>>>>> amalgams?

>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>> What is *your* position on the electromagnetic properties of

>>>>>>>>>>>>>> typical

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> dental

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> amalgams?

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

Note to Robert Morien

> >> > I see you continue to support Keith in his useless complaints.
> >>
> >> OOOPPPPPSSSSSS.
> >>
> >> The real questions are Robert: What is *your* position on the safety of
> >> amalgams?
> >>
> >> What is *your* position on the electromagnetic properties of typical
> >> dental
> >> amalgams?
> >>
> >> <snip>
> >
> > Nope. you see my position on anything is irrelevant...
>
> Afraid not.
>
> The fact is:
>
> You have no right to grill Keith over and over with questions, when you
> refuse to answer them.

Who are you to tell me this? Keith asks questions that Keith could clearly answer yet chooses not to. That is worth questioning him about.

I take it that you support Keith's right to ask questions he could easily answer, but you don't support my right to ask questions he could easily answer. That makes you a terrorist.

>
> This is a dental newsgroup.

are you a dentist?

>
> You have run out of excuses for not answering.

I haven't made any excuses for not answering. Your questions are irrelevant and not worthy of an answer.

>
> You have clearly shown, you are here to harass.

You are here to harass, admit it.

>
> <snip>

Just what is your position on tampons

-
- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ *Re: Note to Robert Morien*
 - ◇ *From:* Robert Morien

 - Prev by Date: *Re: NTI cost*
 - Next by Date: *Roger Levin and Consultants*
 - Previous by thread: *Re: Note To Robert Morien*
 - Next by thread: *Re: Note to Robert Morien*
 - Index(es):
 - ◆ *Date*
 - ◆ *Thread*