

## Re: Note to Robert Morien

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med.dentistry/2005-09/msg01487.html>

---

- *From:* "LadyLollipop" <LadyLollipop@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Thu, 15 Sep 2005 03:35:46 GMT
- 

"Robert Morien" <PhD\_failure@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message [news:PhD\\_failure-2BB773.19542614092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:PhD_failure-2BB773.19542614092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)  
> Wrong as usual. If YOU use the reply-to field when posting, it will  
> automatically send an email copy of the post to you (when someone  
> replies to the post). I never use the reply-to field, for obvious  
> reasons.

I have no reply to field on outlook express, just a reply group and a reply which goes to the poster.

>  
> And I have never, ever put your name in the to: cc: or bcc: field of any  
> emails that I have sent or posts that I have posted.

The e-mails you sent to me did not have a cc, they were sent directly to me and anyone can clearly see.

You seem to be weaseling, Robert.

It is clear the e-mails came from you, they were posted both here and sent to me, privately.

>  
>  
> In article <d6YVe.109747\$084.33463@attbi\_s22>,  
> "LadyLollipop" <LadyLollipop@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:  
>  
>> \*I never sent those to you\*.  
>>  
>> So, you say, it appears you did!  
>>  
>> \*OTOH if you use the reply-To: field, they  
>> might have gone to you that way\*.  
>>  
>> WOW!!!!!!  
>>  
>> The reply to would have went to YOU, not me!!!!  
>>

Re: Note to Robert Morien

>>  
>>  
>> "Robert Morien" <PhD\_failure@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message  
>> [news:PhD\\_failure-E63567.23004313092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:PhD_failure-E63567.23004313092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)  
>> > In article <jSJVe.106784\$084.69052@attbi\_s22>,  
>> > "LadyLollipop" <LadyLollipop@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:  
>> >  
>> >> I had deleted them, but had not deleted the folder, so here you go,  
>> >> all  
>> >> three.  
>> >>  
>> >> Received: from smtp.inreach.com ([209.142.2.36])  
>> >> by sccqmx92.asp.att.net (sccqmx92) with SMTP  
>> >> id <20050913053025q9200k7407e>; Tue, 13 Sep 2005 05:30:25  
>> >> +0000  
>> >> X-Originating-IP: [209.142.2.36]  
>> >> Received: (qmail 5149 invoked from network); 13 Sep 2005  
>> >> 06:09:05 -0000  
>> >> Received: from [208.25.62.167] (HELO 208-25-62-167.stk.inreach.net)  
>> >> (208.25.62.167)  
>> >> by smtp.inreach.com (qpsmtpd/0.28) with SMTP; Mon, 12 Sep 2005  
>> >> 23:09:05 -0700  
>> >> From: Robert Morien <PhD\_failure@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>> >> To: "LadyLollipop" <LadyLollipop@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>> >> Subject: Re: Faradaic Activity in Dental Amalgams  
>> >> Newsgroups:  
>> >> sci.med.dentistry,sci.physics.electromag,sci.physics,sci.materials  
>> >> References: <PhD\_failure-C2EC0D.01180506092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>> >> <rvkuh110or4gi1jichluur62p6kvkcolj@xxxxxxx>  
>> >> <PhD\_failure-0C2C75.15195707092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>> >> <PdKTe.315138\$x96.35427@attbi\_s72> <Sue.1v0i1y@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>> >> <bo29i15p9ee36fail7ekvt9is5aftftnu9@xxxxxxx>  
>> >> <1126473632.653316.47500@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>> >> <4m4ai1p0n7ma7t4qd8e46bnil5danshhnt@xxxxxxx>  
>> >> <PhD\_failure-21EEF9.23284411092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>> >> <5E9Ve.345031\$xml3.307508@attbi\_s21>  
>> >> <fijai1hpq8cade25ng7rv00pkc9sqlkpi@xxxxxxx>  
>> >> <qUmVe.325137\$x96.224368@attbi\_s72>  
>> >> <PhD\_failure-4FE6FD.17082912092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>> >> <yEpVe.236179\$5N3.189115@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>> >> <G6qVe.325493\$x96.71481@attbi\_s72>  
>> >> Posted-And-Mailed: yes  
>> >> User-Agent: MT-NewsWatcher/3.4 (PPC Classic)  
>> >> Date: Mon, 12 Sep 2005 22:30:21 -0700  
>> >> Message-ID: <PhD\_failure-5F0FE4.22302112092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>> >>  
>> >> In article <G6qVe.325493\$x96.71481@attbi\_s72>,  
>> >> "LadyLollipop" <LadyLollipop@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:  
>> >>  
>> >> > "carabelli" <huerter@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message  
>> >> > [news:yEpVe.236179\\$5N3.189115@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:yEpVe.236179$5N3.189115@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Re: Note to Robert Morien

>>>>  
>>>> "Robert Morien" <PhD\_failure@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote .....  
>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>> what is your position on tampons. I need to know before I can  
>>>>> answer  
>>>>> anything.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> There is a distinct difference between the message and the  
>>>>> messenger.  
>>>>> There are a few messengers here that deserve all they get, and  
>>>>> there  
>>>>> are  
>>>>> a  
>>>>> few that deserve being ignored.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> carabelli  
>>>>>  
>>>>> It would like to be ignored that mercury amalgams are indeed  
>>>>> dangerous.  
>>>>> Dan  
>>>>> like to ignore the truth. Dan was caught LYING. Dan would like to  
>>>>> ignore  
>>>>> the  
>>>>> \*truth\*, just as ALL the dentists here, except Steve Bornfeld.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> The truth is Robert is here to harass, so he fits in well with Dan.  
>>>>  
>>>> I only harass spammers.  
>>>>  
>>>> Do you feel harassed?  
>>>>  
>>>> Received: from smtp.inreach.com ([209.142.2.36])  
>>>> by sccqmx92.asp.att.net (sccqmx92) with SMTP  
>>>> id <20050913052949q9200k4hile>; Tue, 13 Sep 2005 05:29:49  
>>>> +0000  
>>>> X-Originating-IP: [209.142.2.36]  
>>>> Received: (qmail 5138 invoked from network); 13 Sep 2005  
>>>> 06:08:29 -0000  
>>>> Received: from [208.25.62.167] (HELO 208-25-62-167.stk.inreach.net)  
>>>> (208.25.62.167)  
>>>> by smtp.inreach.com (qpsmtpd/0.28) with SMTP; Mon, 12 Sep 2005  
>>>> 23:08:29 -0700  
>>>> From: Robert Morien <PhD\_failure@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>>>> To: "LadyLollipop" <LadyLollipop@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>>>> Subject: Re: Faradaic Activity in Dental Amalgams  
>>>> Newsgroups:  
>>>> sci.med.dentistry,sci.physics.electromag,sci.physics,sci.materials  
>>>> References: <PhD\_failure-C2EC0D.01180506092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>>>> <rvkuh110or4gi1jichluur62p6kvkccolj@xxxxxxx>  
>>>> <PhD\_failure-0C2C75.15195707092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

Re: Note to Robert Morien

>> >> <PdKTe.315138\$x96.35427@attbi\_s72> <Sue.1v0i1y@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>> >> <bo29i15p9ee36fail7ekvt9is5aftftnu9@xxxxxxx>  
>> >> <1126473632.653316.47500@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>> >> <4m4ai1p0n7ma7t4qd8e46bnl5danshhnt@xxxxxxx>  
>> >> <PhD\_failure-21EEF9.23284411092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>> >> <5E9Ve.345031\$xml3.307508@attbi\_s21>  
>> >> <fijai1hpq8cade25ng7rv00pkc9sqlkpi@xxxxxxx>  
>> >> <qUmVe.325137\$x96.224368@attbi\_s72>  
>> >> <PhD\_failure-4FE6FD.17082912092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>> >> <yEpVe.236179\$5N3.189115@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>> >> <G6qVe.325493\$x96.71481@attbi\_s72>  
>> >> Posted-And-Mailed: yes  
>> >> User-Agent: MT-NewsWatcher/3.4 (PPC Classic)  
>> >> Date: Mon, 12 Sep 2005 22:29:46 -0700  
>> >> Message-ID: <PhD\_failure-3E5E9C.22294612092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>> >>  
>> >> In article <G6qVe.325493\$x96.71481@attbi\_s72>,  
>> >> "LadyLollipop" <LadyLollipop@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:  
>> >>  
>> >> > "carabelli" <huerter@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message  
>> >> > news:yEpVe.236179\$5N3.189115@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx  
>> >> > >  
>> >> > > "Robert Morien" <PhD\_failure@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote .....

>> >> > >  
>> >> > >> what is your position on tampons. I need to know before I can  
>> >> > >> answer  
>> >> > >> anything.  
>> >> > >  
>> >> > > There is a distinct difference between the message and the  
>> >> > > messenger.  
>> >> > > There are a few messengers here that deserve all they get, and  
>> >> > > there  
>> >> > > are  
>> >> > > a  
>> >> > > few that deserve being ignored.  
>> >> > >  
>> >> > > carabelli  
>> >> > >  
>> >> > > It would like to be ignored that mercury amalgams are indeed  
>> >> > > dangerous.  
>> >> > > Dan  
>> >> > > like to ignore the truth. Dan was caught LYING. Dan would like to  
>> >> > > ignore  
>> >> > > the  
>> >> > > \*truth\*, just as ALL the dentists here, except Steve Bornfeld.  
>> >> > >  
>> >> > > The truth is Robert is here to harass, so he fits in well with Dan.  
>> >>  
>> >> And you don't call what you do harassing? Please define harassment so  
>> >> we

Re: Note to Robert Morien

Re: Note to Robert Morien

>> >> can all understand.  
>> >>  
>> >> Received: from smtp.inreach.com ([209.142.2.36])  
>> >> by sccqmx93.asp.att.net (sccqmx93) with SMTP  
>> >> id <20050913052644q930039800e>; Tue, 13 Sep 2005 05:26:44  
>> >> +0000  
>> >> X-Originating-IP: [209.142.2.36]  
>> >> Received: (qmail 5068 invoked from network); 13 Sep 2005  
>> >> 06:05:24 -0000  
>> >> Received: from [208.25.62.167] (HELO 208-25-62-167.stk.inreach.net)  
>> >> (208.25.62.167)  
>> >> by smtp.inreach.com (qpsmtpd/0.28) with SMTP; Mon, 12 Sep 2005  
>> >> 23:05:24 -0700  
>> >> From: Robert Morien <PhD\_failure@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>> >> To: "LadyLollipop" <LadyLollipop@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>> >> Subject: Re: Faradaic Activity in Dental Amalgams  
>> >> Newsgroups:  
>> >> sci.med.dentistry,sci.physics.electromag,sci.physics,sci.materials  
>> >> References: <1h2dzeo.e8vq1f1ba96mjN%stove99pipe@xxxxxxxx>  
>> >> <Sue.1ux0oo@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>> >> <1125992435.465797.94100@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>> >> <PhD\_failure-C2EC0D.01180506092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>> >> <rvkuh110or4gi1jichluur62p6kvkcolj@xxxxxxxx>  
>> >> <PhD\_failure-0C2C75.15195707092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>> >> <PdKTe.315138\$x96.35427@attbi\_s72> <Sue.1v0i1y@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>> >> <bo29i15p9ee36fail7ekvt9is5aftftnu9@xxxxxxxx>  
>> >> <1126473632.653316.47500@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>> >> <4m4ai1p0n7ma7t4qd8e46bnil5danshhnt@xxxxxxxx>  
>> >> <PhD\_failure-21EEF9.23284411092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>> >> <5E9Ve.345031\$xml3.307508@attbi\_s21>  
>> >> <PhD\_failure-3F6BD1.14345812092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>> >> <r0nVe.326222\$o.311376@attbi\_s71>  
>> >> <PhD\_failure-B51906.17074612092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>> >> <fepVe.325305\$x96.150355@attbi\_s72>  
>> >> Posted-And-Mailed: yes  
>> >> User-Agent: MT-NewsWatcher/3.4 (PPC Classic)  
>> >> Date: Mon, 12 Sep 2005 22:26:37 -0700  
>> >> Message-ID: <PhD\_failure-003C20.22263712092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>> >>  
>> >> In article <fepVe.325305\$x96.150355@attbi\_s72>,  
>> >> "LadyLollipop" <LadyLollipop@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:  
>> >>  
>> >> > "Robert Morien" <PhD\_failure@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message  
>> >> > news:PhD\_failure-B51906.17074612092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx  
>> >> > In article <r0nVe.326222\$o.311376@attbi\_s71>,  
>> >> > > "LadyLollipop" <LadyLollipop@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:  
>> >> > >  
>> >> > > "Robert Morien" <PhD\_failure@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message  
>> >> > > news:PhD\_failure-3F6BD1.14345812092005@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx  
>> >> > > In article <5E9Ve.345031\$xml3.307508@attbi\_s21>,  
>> >> > > > "LadyLollipop" <LadyLollipop@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Re: Note to Robert Morien

>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> "Robert Morien" <PhD\_failure@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> > The real question is: Keith, why aren't you going to the  
>>>>>>> > physics  
>>>>>>> > department of your local college/university and asking them  
>>>>>>> > this?  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> The real questions are Robert: What is\*your\* position on the  
>>>>>>> safety  
>>>>>>> of  
>>>>>>> amalgams?  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> What is \*your\* position on the electromagnetic properties of  
>>>>>>> typical  
>>>>>>> dental  
>>>>>>> amalgams?  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> I see you continue to support Keith in his useless complaints.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> OOOPPPPPSSSSSS.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> The real questions are Robert: What is\*your\* position on the  
>>>>>>> safety  
>>>>>>> of  
>>>>>>> amalgams?  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> What is \*your\* position on the electromagnetic properties of  
>>>>>>> typical  
>>>>>>> dental  
>>>>>>> amalgams?  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> <snip>  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> > Nope. you see my position on anything is irrelevant...  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> > Afraid not.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> > The fact is:  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> > You have no right to grill Keith over and over with questions, when  
>>>>>>> > you  
>>>>>>> > refuse to answer them.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> >>> Who are you to tell me this? Keith asks questions that Keith could  
>>>>>>> >>> clearly answer yet chooses not to. That is worth questioning him  
>>>>>>> >>> about.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> >>> I take it that you support Keiths right to ask questions he could  
>>>>>>> >>> easily  
>>>>>>> >>> answer, but you don't support my right to ask questions he could



Re: Note to Robert Morien

- ◆ **Note to Robert Morien**
  - ◇ From: LadyLollipop
- ◆ **Re: Note to Robert Morien**
  - ◇ From: Robert Morien
- ◆ **Re: Note to Robert Morien**
  - ◇ From: Robert Morien

- Prev by Date: **Re: Note To ALL Posters Here**
- Next by Date: **Re: It's Time For A WAKE UP CALL!**
- Previous by thread: **Re: Note to Robert Morien**
- Next by thread: **Re: Note to Robert Morien**
- Index(es):
  - ◆ **Date**
  - ◆ **Thread**