

Re: The Dr. K Book

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.med.dentistry/2005-11/msg00588.html>

- *From:* "Clinton" <clintonz@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 8 Nov 2005 20:38:10 -0800
-

unknown wrote:

- > CZ has no idea what we learned in dental school. He has
- > no idea what we have learned since dental school.

WRong!! I have a very good idea first hand from dealing with dentists and oral surgeons.

- > He does
- > not understand oral infections

How would you know. You think because you spent a couple years in a classroom and did a few fillings and extractions your a guru?

- > or dental materials.

I'm sure I know a lot more about amalgam than you. Why don't you tell me a calcuation for the vapor pressure of amalgam or give me an upper limit on corrosion or Hg release. You can't.....why is that with all your advanced dental school training?

- > He has
- > simply gone to the fringes of the profession to find someone
- > that supports his ideas.

More unformed drivel and misguided specualtion and as usual you've got it

reversed. First my main concern is with amalgam.

Second from the resulting dental infection from the material which is supposed to be stable I have witnessed FIRST HAND another entire area of incompetence (as have many other patients)

>>From the oral surgeon who wrote that soft bone is "not indicative of any disease" to a couple of other dental idiots, including a couple oral surgeons.

We the patients (in this case dental infection patients) many times are flushed

out to discover the few dentists and oral surgeons who have a clue.

Think a dentist or oral surgeon won't leave large areas of infection in

Re: The Dr. K Book

your
jaw after an extraction and tell you to "bite me". Guess again.

And if you open your uninformed loud mouth one more time I'm going to post my CT scan on the forum so you can see what mainstream denistry does. Hopefully now you'll shut up for good or at least until you know what you are talking about.

>Dr.K has not shown anything to
> support
> his accusations.

LOL, you don't even know what the accusations are because you haven't read the book!

Reminds me of a story told by Feyman when he volunteered to help review books for

the CA education board. One publisher didn't go to press in time, but everyone assumed

that everyone had read the book and based their opinion on what everyone else said.

Eventually the non-existent book received a favorable rating from the school board.

You're no better because you're just an uninformed parrot.

>
> There is some bad endo out there. Even