

Re: The Dr. K Book

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.med.dentistry/2005-11/msg00759.html>

- *From:* drkulacz@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx
 - *Date:* 13 Nov 2005 17:07:57 -0800
-

Tony Bad wrote:

> <drkulacz@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
> news:1131755275.448535.153320@xx
> > I have been following this thread (and others on this forum)
>
> So then, answer my questions regarding why you take such a strong stance
> against endo yet your web site and published article don't provide cases
> that support your views or provide good evidence of the evils of endodontic
> care. Since you are following these threads, you probably recall my
> comments. I am presently reading your book, looking for those examples, but
> may not have gotten there yet.
>
> You keep hearkening back to the fact you have been attacked and insulted
> here, yet you conveniently forget who started the branding of people as
> idiots and incompetents.
>
> I am also curious why your book takes so much time and space describing how
> ignorant and uninformed other dentists are. Why not just present your
> message and let that do the talking? Your posts here have taken the same
> approach and as you correctly point out, have led to nothing but a trading
> of insults. I'd really like to learn more about your views.
>
> One last question. Why have you always used one path lab...the same one used
> by others who share your views? It would seem that the types of pathology
> you write about would be easily noted by any pathologist, so the use of a
> single lab seems unusual.
>
> T

To T (whatever your real name actually is we will never know since you are not brave enough to post it):

I have used more than one lab. And I have had similar reports given on my biopsy. I have also used about 3 different labs for culture and sensitivity. All report similar findings. Have you ever done a biopsy or culture? I bet the answer is no. If no, then why not? How are you forming an opinion on this subject without reading all of the data?

Re: The Dr. K Book

I would think that you would want to know about this subject since it impacts the health of the patients you are treating. I am not saying that everyone with a root canal will become ill, but some people will. Therefore if this possibility is not presented to the patient then it is lack of informed consent. A root canal tooth is a dead infected body part in the bone of the maxilla or mandible. A person may become ill right away or some time removed from the root canal procedure depending upon factors such as the health of the patients immune system, other physical ailments, the pathogenicity of the bacteria present, as well as a host of other factors.

The answer to the root canal issue causing or contributing to atypical facial pain or a variety of systemic diseases has been published extensively for almost 100 years in both the medical and dental literature. As I have suggested numerous times, look it up. It is all there. The fact is that root canal teeth remain infected whether the root canal procedure is performed by an endodontist with the highest level of clinical skill, or a dentist with average skill. The tooth is porous like a sponge due to the composition of dentin which is composed of dentin tubules. Bacteria live in these tubules, accessory canals, the main canals (which about 90% of the time still have necrotic material left in the apical 3 mm. after root canal completion). Also, the infection can travel to the surrounding bone causing chronic osteomyelitis and sometimes osteonecrosis.

Some people will become ill and some will not. Many times the causation of systemic disease being linked to a root canal is missed because dentists continue to propagate the wrong assumption that root canal teeth cannot be the cause of a systemic disease or atypical facial pain. Many times the patients with atypical facial pain are referred by a dentist, to an internist, then to a neurologist and put on medications such as neurontin. I have seen MANY of these patients and most of the time I can complete their history for them at the exam appointment because so many people have the same similar story.

I do not see why you cannot see this with the abundance of information available. If you cannot find it then there is no point in continuing a discussion because you lack the knowledge of the subject. Again, I would think that you would want to know more about this instead of blindly calling my statements false.

Amatus and T have never answered the questions asked regarding mercury, anesthetic, infection etc. Simple questions about dentistry/medicine that you should know without having to look up the answers. That was the point of my original post and you prove me correct.

T, you called some of your colleagues a**h*!s on the thread about the problem a person had with two dentists in a row. I guess you do not think too highly of some of your peers or you would not have said that.

Re: The Dr. K Book

Amatus, all you can answer is "ridiculous." Again you prove my point stated in my original post. What is so "ridiculous?"

Oh well.

RK

• *Follow-Ups:*

- ◆ **Re: The Dr. K Book**
◇ *From:* Tony Bad
- ◆ **Re: The Dr. K Book**
◇ *From:* Dartos
- ◆ **Re: The Dr. K Book**
◇ *From:* Clinton
- ◆ **Re: The Dr. K Book**
◇ *From:* Amatus Cremona

• *References:*

- ◆ **Re: The Dr. K Book**
◇ *From:* Clinton
- ◆ **Re: Re: The Dr. K Book**
◇ *From:* unknown
- ◆ **Re: The Dr. K Book**
◇ *From:* Clinton
- ◆ **Re: The Dr. K Book**
◇ *From:* Dartos
- ◆ **Re: The Dr. K Book**
◇ *From:* drkulacz
- ◆ **Re: The Dr. K Book**
◇ *From:* Tony Bad

- Prev by Date: **3 month new dentures**
- Next by Date: **Re: The Dr. K Book**
- Previous by thread: **Re: The Dr. K Book**
- Next by thread: **Re: The Dr. K Book**
- Index(es):
 - ◆ **Date**
 - ◆ **Thread**