

# Re: The Dr. K Book

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.med.dentistry/2005-11/msg00763.html>

---

- *From:* Amatus Cremona <[nicola@..amanti.com](mailto:nicola@..amanti.com)>
  - *Date:* Mon, 14 Nov 2005 03:04:45 GMT
- 

>  
>Amatus, all you can answer is " ridiculous." Again you prove my point  
>stated in my original post. What is so "ridiculous?"  
>

Wrong! you are not worth the time to argue with, as you will never participate in a true discussion.

On 13 Nov 2005 18:28:38 -0800, drkulacz@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

>  
>Amatus Cremona wrote:  
>> >  
>> >Amatus, all you can answer is " ridiculous." Again you prove my point  
>> >stated in my original post. What is so "ridiculous?"  
>> >  
>> >  
>> you are.

>  
>Amatus. Your response is what I expected from you.  
>  
>I wonder how many people you have injured due to your ignorance?  
>  
>Amatus, all you can answer is " ridiculous." Again you prove my point  
>stated in my original post. What is so "ridiculous?"  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>>

>> On 13 Nov 2005 17:07:57 -0800, drkulacz@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx wrote:  
>>  
>> >  
>> >Tony Bad wrote:  
>> >> <drkulacz@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message  
>> >> [news:1131755275.448535.153320@xx](mailto:news:1131755275.448535.153320@xx)  
>> >> > I have been following this thread (and others on this forum)  
>> >>

Re: The Dr. K Book

>> >> So then, answer my questions regarding why you take such a strong stance  
>> >> against endo yet your web site and published article don't provide cases  
>> >> that support your views or provide good evidence of the evils of endodontic  
>> >> care. Since you are following these threads, you probably recall my  
>> >> comments. I am presently reading your book, looking for those examples, but  
>> >> may not have gotten there yet.

>> >>

>> >> You keep hearkening back to the fact you have been attacked and insulted  
>> >> here, yet you conveniently forget who started the branding of people as  
>> >> idiots and incompetents.

>> >>

>> >> I am also curious why your book takes so much time and space describing how  
>> >> ignorant and uninformed other dentists are. Why not just present your  
>> >> message and let that do the talking? Your posts here have taken the same  
>> >> approach and as you correctly point out, have led to nothing but a trading  
>> >> of insults. I'd really like to learn more about your views.

>> >>

>> >> One last question. Why have you always used one path lab...the same one used  
>> >> by others who share your views? It would seem that the types of pathology  
>> >> you write about would be easily noted by any pathologist, so the use of a  
>> >> single lab seems unusual.

>> >>

>> >> T

>> >

>> >To T (whatever your real name actually is we will never know since you  
>> >are not brave enough to post it):

>> >

>> >I have used more than one lab. And I have had similar reports given on  
>> >my biopsy. I have also used about 3 different labs for culture and  
>> >sensitivity. All report similar findings.

>> >Have you ever done a biopsy or culture?

>> >I bet the answer is no. If no, then why not? How are you forming an  
>> >opinion on this subject without reading all of the data?

>> >

>> >I would think that you would want to know about this subject since it  
>> >impacts the health of the patients you are treating. I am not saying  
>> >that everyone with a root canal will become ill, but some people will.  
>> >Therefore if this possibility is not presented to the patient then it  
>> >is lack of informed consent. A root canal tooth is is a dead infected  
>> >body part in the bone of the maxilla or mandible. A person may become  
>> >ill right away or some time removed from the root canal procedure  
>> >depending upon factors such as the health of the patients immune  
>> >system, other physical ailments, the pathogenicity of the bacteria  
>> >present, as well as a host of other factors.

>> >

>> >The answer to the root canal issue causing or contributing to atypical  
>> >facial pain or a variety of systemic diseases has been published  
>> >extensively for almost 100 years in both the medical and dental  
>> >literature. As I have suggested numerous times, look it up. It is all  
>> >there. The fact is that root canal teeth remain infected whether the  
>> >root canal procedure is performed by an endodontist with the highest

Re: The Dr. K Book

>> >level of clinical skill, or a dentist with average skill. The tooth is  
>> >porous like a sponge due to the composition of dentin which is composed  
>> >of dentin tubules. Bacteria live in these tubules, accessory canals,  
>> >the main canals (which about 90% of the time still have necrotic  
>> >material left in the apical 3 mm.after root canal completion). Also,  
>> >the infection can travel to the surrounding bone causing chronic  
>> >osteomyelitis and sometimes osteonecrosis.

>> >

>> >Some people will become ill and some will not. Many times the causation  
>> >of systemic disease being linked to a root canal is missed because  
>> >dentists continue to propogate the wrong assumption that root canal  
>> >teeth cannot be the cause of a systemic disease or atypical facial  
>> >pain. Many times the patiets with atypical facial pain are referred by  
>> >a dentist, to an interest, then to a neurologist and put on  
>> >medications such as neurontin. I have seen MANY of these patients and  
>> >most of the time I can complete their history for them at the exam  
>> >appointment because so many people have the same similar story.

>> >

>> >I do not see why you cannot see this with the abundance of information  
>> >available. If you cannot find it then there is no point in continuing a  
>> >discussion because you lack the knowledge of the subject. Again, I  
>> >would think that you would want to know more about this instead of  
>> >blindly calling my statements false.

>> >

>> >Amatus and T have never answered the questions asked regarding mercury,  
>> >anesthetic, infection etc. Simple questions about dentistry/medicine  
>> >that you should know without having to look up the answers. That was  
>> >the point of my original post and you prove me correct.

>> >

>> >T, you called some of your collegues a\*\*h\*1\*s on the thread about the  
>> >problem a person had with two dentists in a row. I guess you do not  
>> >think too highly of some of your peers or you would not have said that.

>> >

>> >Amatus, all you can answer is " ridiculous." Again you prove my point  
>> >stated in my original post. What is so "ridiculous?"

>> >

>> >Oh well.

>> >

>> >RK

>>

>> ..

>>

>> Amatus

>>

>> .

...

Amatus

.

- *Follow-Ups:*

- ◆ **Re: The Dr. K Book**
  - ◇ *From:* Clinton

- *References:*

- ◆ **Re: Re: The Dr. K Book**
  - ◇ *From:* unknown
- ◆ **Re: The Dr. K Book**
  - ◇ *From:* Clinton
- ◆ **Re: The Dr. K Book**
  - ◇ *From:* Dartos
- ◆ **Re: The Dr. K Book**
  - ◇ *From:* drkulacz
- ◆ **Re: The Dr. K Book**
  - ◇ *From:* Tony Bad
- ◆ **Re: The Dr. K Book**
  - ◇ *From:* drkulacz
- ◆ **Re: The Dr. K Book**
  - ◇ *From:* Amatus Cremona
- ◆ **Re: The Dr. K Book**
  - ◇ *From:* drkulacz

- Prev by Date: **Re: The Dr. K Book**
- Next by Date: **Re: Wisdom tooth extraction while fully awake**
- Previous by thread: **Re: The Dr. K Book**
- Next by thread: **Re: The Dr. K Book**
- Index(es):
  - ◆ **Date**
  - ◆ **Thread**