

# Re: Laser dentistry

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.med.dentistry/2007-02/msg00460.html>

---

- *From:* "Eigenvector" <m44\_master@xxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Wed, 14 Feb 2007 17:11:59 -0800
- 

"Steven Fawks" <tuthjockey@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message  
[news:1171418962\\_8915@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](news:1171418962_8915@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

If the patient is anesthetised, you can turn up the power and it's not as slow as some would make it out to be. Learning the focus length and using 4.0 or greater magnification helps increase efficiency greatly.

It's pretty cool to watch the decay just disappear with every 'pop'.

What is better? Five minutes of unnerving high speed drilling or ten minutes of a more relaxed procedure?

Steve

Depends on the difference in cost. I don't have a clue what the difference would be.

krzysztof polanowski wrote:

practically the preparation using lasers for hard tissue doesnt eliminate convantional burs in 100% and next point it takes much more time.