

Re: What criteria is used to schedule an ultrasound in diabetics?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med.diseases.cancer/2004-06/0121.html>

From: J (nonnewbie33_at_privacy.net)

Date: 06/17/04

Date: Thu, 17 Jun 2004 07:17:13 -0400

"Mâck©®" wrote:

- > *sorry for your loss. this is a perfect example of why the doctor is*
- > *not the one who should be placed in charge of ones health. the*
- > *patient must always be in charge. always question your doctors, and*
- > *when in doubt or even suspicious demand a second opinion. The moment*
- > *she presented with abnormal liver tests she should have been scheduled*
- > *to see a liver specialist. No waiting on her primary to pull his head*
- > *out of his ass.*

Not knowing where the masses were in or on the pancreas (which determines resectability or not) and not knowing whether or not the bile ducts were involved (which I think likely because the gallbladder had cancer too), it was way too late. The tests listed can't be done in a day or a week, they take time and she expired two weeks later.

Even if they'd pursued this "liver infecton" (hepatitis) theory (which was earlier), there's viral (which takes time to clear), then there's seeing an immunologist, gastroenterologist, hematologist... it was still too late, IMO

Of course, we've all got opinions, but sometimes the answer lies in the autopsy report.

J