

Re: Cancer treatment after chemo

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med.diseases.cancer/2005-05/msg00064.html>

- *From:* "Jeff" <kidsdoc2000@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 09 May 2005 04:01:15 GMT
-

"Kristofer D. Dale" <barefoot@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
[news:5776d\\$427af1d3\\$402a802f\\$27381@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:5776d$427af1d3$402a802f$27381@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

>I recently provided the following link in response to this thread about
> other treatments that are available to those who have been through
> standard regimens: <http://www.vitalethine.org>

>
> "Jeff" responded:

>
>>Better yet, save your money (the above "treatment" has not been proven
>>in humans, only test tubes), eat a healthy diet and exercise.

>
> Yep, listen to this ignoramus, who clearly knows next to nothing about
> the vitalethine research, or he would not be making blanket statements.
> Let's be clear about this. This patented and published therapy has been
> extensively tested in animal models, and for various inethical and inept
> reasons, has yet to be given a meaningful trial in humans, but there is
> a stark difference between "chemo" agents and this synthetic "pro-drug"
> form of naturally occurring compounds, known collectively as
> "vitaltheine immune modulators".

The "inethical" and "inept" reasons probably include that no drug company will risk money on a useless treatment.

>The "eat a healthy diet and exercise"
> mockery that physicians mindlessly engage in after their patients health
> has been decimated by decades of malnutrition, toxic accumulations, and
> resulting disease must fall particularly flat upon the ears of those who
> have tried valiantly to live healthy lifestyles, and yet still develop
> debilitating or fatal diseases.

unfortunately, healthy habits don't guarantee long life. However, it does increase the chances of it.

> People like "Jeff" here, who appears to
> be a pediatrician or the like judging from a glimpse of his email
> address, are usually quick to jump to smug, uninformed, and for those
> taking their "advice", dangerous opinions about things they are

Re: Cancer treatment after chemo

> apparently ill-equipped to understand.

>>And don't fall for scams like the one mentioned.

>

> Scam? Back up your claim, bright boy.

There is one published study, from 11 years ago. And that study was in rats.

Unless there is solid evidence to back up the claims, it is a scam.

> Regardless, what has she got to

> lose?

Her money.

> She has already fallen for the "chemo" scam.

Modern cancer therapy, including surgery and chemotherapy, has been shown to prolong the lives of women with ovarian cancer and cure the cancer, in many cases.

> That alone has likely

> caused at least as much damage as it sought to correct, especially in

> terms of peak functionality and long-term viability.

Please provide references to support your claim.

> In general, running

> the radiation and chemo gauntlet basically reinforces the previous

> imbalances and malfunction that resulted in "cancer" in the first place.

This is utter bullshit. Cancer does not result from "inbalances."

when you get a clue, let us know.

Jeff

<garbage deleted>

• *Follow-Ups:*

◆ **Re: Cancer treatment after chemo**

◇ *From:* Steph

◆ **Re: Cancer treatment after chemo**

◇ *From:* Kristofer Dale

Re: Cancer treatment after chemo

- **References:**

- ◆ **Cancer treatment after chemo**
◇ From: Kristofer D. Dale

- Prev by Date: **Re: c reactive protein and lymphoma**
- Next by Date: **Re: Cancer treatment after chemo**
- Previous by thread: **Re: Cancer treatment after chemo**
- Next by thread: **Re: Cancer treatment after chemo**
- Index(es):
 - ◆ **Date**
 - ◆ **Thread**