

Monthly follow up: "Is melanoma simply a Vitamin D deficiency cancer?"

Monthly follow up: "Is melanoma simply a Vitamin D deficiency cancer?"

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med.diseases.cancer/2006-02/msg00065.html>

- *From:* "James Semmel" <feedback@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 7 Feb 2006 09:38:58 -0800
-

TO: All melanoma researchers, doctors, and patients.

My hypothesis—that melanoma is due to a deficiency in the "sunshine vitamin"—is not that far-fetched.

Indeed, I have experimentally observed that newly formed moles turn lighter with an increased intake of Vitamin D3, and they turn darker with its elimination; moreover, adequate Vitamin D3 seems to prevent the formation of new moles on both my little brother and myself.

Thus, since one of the hallmarks of melanoma is a darkening new or existing mole, the cancer is plausibly due to a deficiency in the essential Vitamin D3.

I'm really intrigued that there could be such an inexpensive off-the-shelf solution to The Melanoma Epidemic, capable of practically eradicating the cancer within just a few months of its widespread use. The final proof, of course, won't come until Vitamin D3 is tested by a number of people who are at highest risk for melanoma—hence my repeated calls for its immediate investigation.

Thank you for carefully considering my results.

James Semmel
Albuquerque, New Mexico

reference:

<http://www.mpip.org/bb/shtml/320380.shtml>

3rd annual: Is melanoma simply a Vitamin D deficiency cancer?

.