

Re: cytoreductive surgery (Steph)

Re: cytoreductive surgery (Steph)

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.med.diseases.cancer/2006-12/msg00203.html>

- *From:* jonboy42@xxxxxxxxxx (J W)
 - *Date:* Tue, 26 Dec 2006 10:43:14 -0500
-

Re: cytoreductive surgery (Steph)

Group: sci.med.diseases.cancer Date: Tue, Dec 26, 2006, 8:32am From: ercent@xxxxxxxxxx (J)
mainframetech wrote:

J,

Put your ego back where it came from. I'm not here to service your efforts to aggrandize yourself on the backs of the people here. And my family health history is not your business, particularly given your comments here.

You are correct about Lai and Singh's degrees, Singh's being: M.S. (surgery), King George's Medical College, India, 1976 M.B.B.S. (medicine & surgery), King George's Medical College, India, 1972

Which has, of course, no bearing on their ability to run a proper study. And I suspect they have many Phd's of the 'right' type available to consult with at the univ., if needed.

However, you have failed badly to note that their past history contains many studies showing Artemisinin successfully killing many types of cancer.

You failed to show us any except pointing us a webpage that had one human patient.

The rest is *invivo*.

You will find that the Univ. of Washington also acknowledged their work with an article. Of course, with the usual legal note that they're not recommending it. One needs to do a lot more research into Artemisinin before trying to drag it away from people who might get some good out of it, based on the study results and anecdotal evidence.

Take what you want.

I'll continue to tell people to wait for clinical trials. J

What about those folks who don't have 10 years to wait for you pompas assed PHDs to hrump hrump and himhaw till somebody coughs up enough cash for you to endorse something. Should they just piss off and die.

If there is something out there that has even the faintest chance of beng helpful, people have a right to know about it, study the evidence

Re: cytoreductive surgery (Steph)

Re: cytoreductive surgery (Steph)

offered and decide for themselves whether or not to try it. You nor anyone else have the right to piss on their hopes.

Not everyone is as plum stupid and pure ignorant as you Dr. crank yankers seem to think. While we may not have your years of college which usually breaks down to 50% actually studying and 50% in a frat house drunken stupor. We listen, we take it all in and we are capable of making up our own minds as to whether or not we are being shucked and skint. And if we get fooled into buying snake oil oh well, we live, we learn. But if we give up hope, we die.

I value the opinions of those in here that are more learned than me. I lurk and read the posts usually but do come in here from time to time seeking your opinions on things but I take offense at the way you trample on anybody that has anything to offer that does not meet your standards or fit your mold. Every accepted form of treatment or standardized medicine was once only a theory. Many of them were balked at by their peers. A lot of things we now take for granted were once hailed as lunacy and deemed impossible or unfeasable.

This is America, we were founded on freedom of speech among other things. If I think exlax works better than prune juice while I may have no clinical trials to back it up I do have the right to voice my opinion. The one note I do think should be STRESSED though is that if you don't have any real science to back up your statemnt, say it up front that it is only your opinion and should be accepted as opinion only. Other than that if somebody thinks eating cow dung helped their cancer they certainly have the right to say it. I don't think they'd get many folks lining up to join them without science backing it but poeple still eat bugs so who knows.