

sci.med.diseases.lyme: Re: 1 (800) [blah blah blah]

Re: 1 (800) [blah blah blah]

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med.diseases.lyme/2004-06/0689.html>

From: A_Weisman (a_weisman_at_yahoo.com)

Date: 06/15/04

Date: 15 Jun 2004 14:36:59 -0700

derdrittemann2003@yahoo.com (derdrittemann) wrote in message news:<f2af2263.0406150513.3578ff5@posting.google.com>...

> "Nancy Berntsen" <email_address@end-of-post.com> wrote in message news:<sXrzc.333\$2J7.108@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com>...

>

>

>> "Folks, not to worry. For the record, the "children" "jwismille" with one s
>> and a_weisman are "concerned about" are both senior citizens and are totally
>> competent in taking care of themselves". >

>

> And thank you, Nancy, for noticing that I am "clean" on this...and not
> associated with it...

Well der and nancy, for the record, I repudiate the posting encouraging reports.

My post was not meant to encourage such reports. As I said.

And I meant it.

Believe it or not I was trying to give nancy some advice. Not to post about things that could come back to haunt her.

I take her at her word that she has no minor children involved.

I have no way of knowing otherwise. I don't know her other than through her messages. I take issue only with what she has written, now who she is. I don't know her so I can't take issue with her. Only the ideas she posts. Or her self righteous holier than thou tone. Or her support and enabling of people like kathloon. And her judgmental attitude towards others.

Der I'd be careful to give her ammunition for her victim role.

Yes, dissasociate yourself from the 1 800 posting. And my posting if you want. I am dissociating myself from the 1 800 posting.

I'm not chuck. I'm not jwismille.

Re: 1 (800) [blah blah blah]

> ...frankly, I would be extremely embarrassed to be associated with
> this. I am sorry for you here.

I'd be embarrassed to be associated with this too. I honestly sincerely did not mean for my post to lead to this. I said that I wasn't encouraging anyone to report.

And I admitted that I didn't read nancy's tome in detail. I never read her things in detail. She goes on and on. Repeats herself. Goes off on tangents. None of what she says is particularly interesting to me.

I got a sense of what she wrote. I skimmed it. I didn't pay attention to the details.

She says her kids are adults. Fine.

If they were minors I'd disapprove. But I wouldn't report her. Or encourage others to do so. Not because I disagree with her.

I've only encouraged reports to the authorities when people have threatened or harassed me.

My post was meant to be a heads up to her.

I don't know why she posts under her own name. It isn't smart to do so. Frankly, it isn't safe or prudent.

As much as she accuses us (but not her friends) of posting under aliases, no sane person posts on the internet using their own name.

Even hick is smart enough not to identify himself. Not smart enough that he didn't post reverse directions to his home in bellefonte pa. But he is smart enough to lie about it. And not post his real name in the first place.

> *It's getting REAL crazy around here now.*

LOL It's been REAL crazy around here for a long long time.

That's why you'd have to be nuts to post your real name.

I know at least one person who did but no one noticed. Or almost no one. Now that is a question that deserves an answer. LOL Know who I mean der? LOL