

sci.med.diseases.lyme: Re: Justice and SEC looking at Merck-----Lyme criminals next???

Re: Justice and SEC looking at Merck-----Lyme criminals next???

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med.diseases.lyme/2004-12/0193.html>

From: derdrittemann (derdrittemann2003_at_yahoo.com)

Date: 11/29/04

Date: 29 Nov 2004 11:47:40 -0800

Greatcod@Yahoo.com (Greatcod) wrote in message
news:<caef409e.0411281137.24b616b7@posting.google.com>...

> "Not RICO. OK, so she got the most
> applicable laws wrong".

Well, LOL! This IS a problem!

> "My understanding of what she charged: 1. The animal studies of the
> vaccine's
> effectiveness were marginal at best. 2. The revision of the WB Igg
> criteria
> made the test useless (and they knew it) 3. The autoimmune response to
> the vaccine was reasonably predictable based on Steere's work. So they
> made a bunch
> of people sick, and left tons more undiagnosed so they could recover
> their
> investment".

Criminal? You know what, maybe".

AND...MAYBE NOT.

You need to distinguish between acts that are punishable as crimes
through fines and/or imprisonment...(we actually have trained people
to do this...and they are not, by and large, "analytical chemists")...

...and those that are merely civil wrongs...injuries...addressable
through damage awards.

AGAIN...what's your evidence that any of these things are "criminal"?

"... we can't think straight anymore".

Well...speaking for yourself...this much IS clear, at least.

Re: Justice and SEC looking at Merck-----Lyme criminals next???