

Re: LymeNUT: Paranoia on the forum

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med.diseases.lyme/2005-02/0308.html>

a_weisman_at_yahoo.com

Date: 02/08/05

Date: 8 Feb 2005 06:34:28 -0800

I'm posting the materials from the URL in "treepatrol's" post—it comes from nancy's site (that annoying nancy who used to post here—you remember, self righteous holier rollier than thou nancy).

And nancy that huggy huggy sweetly TOTALLY took statements by me and der and greg out of all context and distorted the meaning and discussion, which is now used as "evidence" to feed the LymeNUTTER's paranoia! LOL

Martijn wrote:

<Snip>

> *treepatrol*
> *Frequent Contributor*
>
> *Posts: 3535*
> *From: PA Where the Creeks are Red*
> *Registered: Jun 2003*
> *posted 07 February 2005 10:13*
>
> *Curiosity*
> *Attack <http://news-reader.org/sci.med.diseases.lyme/>*
> *Responce <http://lymesupport.com/smdl/doc1.htm>*
>
> *Its out there.*
> *Advisory to news:sci.med.diseases.lyme readers:*
>
> *Over the past few years, this newsgroup has become very unhospitable,*

> *unreliable and often unsupportive regarding presentation of*
scientific
> *information and support. Certain frequent posters spend much or all*
of
> *their time attempting to discredit people who post truthful, valid*
> *posts. One or more bullies may actually be paid or compensated*
somehow

- > *to monopolize this newsgroup and discredit valid posts in order to*
- > *protect a very conservative but antiquated perspective on chronic*
Lyme
- > *disease.*
- >
- > *Beware of posters who trash other posters, have a history of*
promoting
- > *McSweegan, American Lyme Disease Foundation, etc., complaining that*
- > *there is too much discussion about chronic Lyme disease and not*
enough
- >