

## Re: More on Klempner's deceptiveness

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med.diseases.lyme/2005-04/msg00414.html>

---

- *From:* "eugeneshapiroisapig" <[mockingbirdstl@xxxxxxx](mailto:mockingbirdstl@xxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* 8 Apr 2005 19:14:20 -0700
- 

I think you're confusing criteria here...while lyme is diagnosed clinically, supposedly at least, the WB criteria are for determining positivity or negativity for any blood serology in lyme disease, not for research or surveillance purposes only.

nowhere on the CDC info for wb criteria does it state that these criteria are only for the interpretation of WB's for research or surveillance purposes.

positive serology is required for a surveillance case unless EM is seen.

IDSA and steere and others in their paradigms require positive WB's – especially late stage lyme where a positive IgG WB is needed.

while this may not be a good way of diagnosing, for all practical purposes that is how the vast majority of american MDs diagnose lyme – with the two stage testing mentioned above. why? because that's what steere and others write about in medical texts and lecture about when they go on their little PR tours. don't fool yourself– the two stage criteria is applied by american medicine (except llmids) generally to the diagnosis of lyme.

only when pressed, such as in legal depositions, do prevaricators like sigal and steere admit to the contrary.

positive or equivocal elisas require confirmation.

.

---

- *Follow-Ups:*
  - ◆ ***Re: More on Klempner's deceptiveness***  
◇ *From:* derdrittemann2003
  - ◆ ***Re: More on Klempner's deceptiveness***  
◇ *From:* derdrittemann2003

- *References:*

Re: More on Klempner's deceptiveness

- ◆ **[More on Klempner's deceptiveness](#)**
  - ◇ *From:* Greatcod
- ◆ **[Re: More on Klempner's deceptiveness](#)**
  - ◇ *From:* derdrittemann2003
- ◆ **[Re: More on Klempner's deceptiveness](#)**
  - ◇ *From:* eugeneshapiroisapig
- ◆ **[Re: More on Klempner's deceptiveness](#)**
  - ◇ *From:* derdrittemann2003

- Prev by Date: **[Re: More on Klempner's deceptiveness](#)**
- Next by Date: **[Re: More on Klempner's deceptiveness](#)**
- Previous by thread: **[Re: More on Klempner's deceptiveness](#)**
- Next by thread: **[Re: More on Klempner's deceptiveness](#)**
- Index(es):
  - ◆ **[Date](#)**
  - ◆ **[Thread](#)**