

Re: More on Klempner's deceptiveness

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.med.diseases.lyme/2005-04/msg00421.html>

- *From:* "Greatcod" <Greatcod@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 8 Apr 2005 19:58:17 -0700
-

Ok, look at this at the time the participants are being selected. They need to WB 5 bands; that would indicate continuing infection by everyone's standards (especially given that they had rashed, and were still sick puppies)....I am trying to say that at that point in time, everyone took Klempner's entry criteria to signal continuing infection. Actually, no one had any sense of his PCR results until after the study was published.

I heard the guy talk for an hour and a half, about Lyme and his study. No one questioned, or had the slightest impression, that he was going to give IV antibiotics to people who were free of infection. We would have thought that crazy, absolutely pointless .

Our concerns were over length of treatment, and the exclusion of very sick people who didn't WB 5 bands, as well as objective measures of infection and improvement, like SPECT scans, and the statistical correction for cycling.

.

• *References:*

- ◆ *More on Klempner's deceptiveness*
 - ◇ *From:* Greatcod
 - ◆ *Re: More on Klempner's deceptiveness*
 - ◇ *From:* a_weisman@xxxxxxxx
 - ◆ *Re: More on Klempner's deceptiveness*
 - ◇ *From:* Greatcod
 - ◆ *Re: More on Klempner's deceptiveness*
 - ◇ *From:* derdrittemann2003
-
- Prev by Date: *Re: More on Klempner's deceptiveness*
 - Next by Date: *Re: Do antibiotics ever eradicate Borrelia burgdoferi?*
 - Previous by thread: *Re: More on Klempner's deceptiveness*
 - Next by thread: *Re: More on Klempner's deceptiveness*
 - Index(es):
 - ◆ *Date*
 - ◆ *Thread*