

Weisman and Dritte talking to himself

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.med.diseases.lyme/2005-11/msg00194.html>

- *From:* "kathleen" <kathleen.dickson@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 6 Nov 2005 10:49:49 -0800
-

66. derdrittemann2...@xxxxxxxx
Apr 30, 9:57 am [hide options](#)
Newsgroups: sci.med.diseases.lyme
From: derdrittemann2...@xxxxxxxx – Find messages by this author
Date: 30 Apr 2005 07:57:15 -0700
Local: Sat, Apr 30 2005 9:57 am
Subject: Re: The Lyme Enigma
[Reply to Author](#) | [Forward](#) | [Print](#) | [Individual Message](#) | [Show original](#)
| [Report Abuse](#)

Filthy McNasty wrote:

> "I just wanted to make the following clear: I do not advocate violence
> in any form, as I have posted numerous times on sci med and lymenet, in
> addition to personal conversations; I do not know and have never met
> joe hamm who made precipitous comments about using a .22 on klempner;
> as stated in my post which der dritteman for some reason went bananas
> over, I have faith in our legal system's ability to function correctly,
> ultimately, in catching criminals; I do not advocate vigilantism in any
> form".

Derdrittemann went "bananas" over the postings because of their OBVIOUS negative PR impact on the Lyme patient community.

DDM then asked both of you Guns and Ammo in the little boys room children to remove the posts for that reason.

Another person...who not too surprisingly, jumped into this, achieved his fifteen minutes of fame by "scheduling Steere for termination"...and we've ALL had to live with that one for years now.

Hey, thanks for THIS one...and your shining contribution.

> "however, the stating that an individual wearing a bulletproof vest
> could not be harmed except by a head shot is a factual statement, not
a

Weisman and Dritte talking to himself

- > criminal suggestion. it is not a direct threat on the persons life;
it
- > is not a conspiracy; it is not an incitement; it is simply an
- > observation. an observation resulting from klempner's public statements
- > that he wears a vest. an observation, a speculation, nothing more.
the
- > idea of dritteman that it was a suggestion for action is absurd,
- > especially when the paragraphs preceding the statement warned hamm
- > about the police being informed and opined against violent acts".

Well...the drittemann at no time, I don't believe, suggested that it "was a call for action"...or even said that it was a problem legally. Did he?

However, telling someone who has just threatened to shoot someone that the intended target wears a bulletproof vest...DESPITE all the self-serving disengenuous language about non-violence...was, at least, interpreted by Joe Hamm as advice to shoot in the "ugly pus"(sic). Like I said, you tell the laws what you meant...I am not going to debate it with you. Damned stupid, though, I can tell you that.

- > "I have contacted the FBI myself in this matter to ensure that the
- > transcript of what was said is complete and not cut and pasted with
- > removed posts doctored, as I removed the original post".

No s---t? Did you really? Ooops. I didn't. LMAO.

"I have 110%

- > confidence that I have committed no crime".

I don't think I ever said you did. Sounds like your brother-in-law has now had a chance to look at the exchange, though.

"I have never advocated

- > violence or laid any plans or asked anyone to participate in a
violent
- > act for any reason. even a snake like klempner has a family. But
what
- > I can promise you is this: if dritteman failed to send a complete
- > transcript,meaning, before I removed my post, he's going to be in
deep
- > shit. I can guarantee that. He will be identified".

Are you threatening me with non-violence?

- > "and drittemann...one other thing...I can imagine you spewing a lot
of
- > things out, thinking it's good information, and then poof, it's going

Weisman and Dritte talking to himself

> to blow up in your face. and then you're the idiot".

You are really, really over-reacting. I asked you to remove to remove the post because I was afraid of what the media could do with it.

Change your underwear and shut up.

67. Filthy McNasty

Apr 30, 5:20 pm show options

Newsgroups: sci.med.diseases.lyme

From: "Filthy McNasty" <mockingbird...@xxxxxxx> – Find messages by this author

Date: 30 Apr 2005 15:20:23 -0700

Local: Sat, Apr 30 2005 5:20 pm

Subject: Re: The Lyme Enigma

[Reply to Author](#) | [Forward](#) | [Print](#) | [Individual Message](#) | [Show original](#)
| [Report Abuse](#)

However, telling someone who has just threatened to shoot someone that the intended target wears a bulletproof vest...DESPITE all the self-serving disingenuous language about non-violence...was, at least, interpreted by Joe Hamm as advice to shoot in the "ugly pus"(sic).

Like I said, you tell the laws what you meant...I am not going to debate it with you. Damned stupid, though, I can tell you that.

you're so full of shit...why don't you put things in context..meaning, my response to hamm's shoot him in the pus statement, which was:"you are either insane or have gigantic balls...or some combination thereof...they will lock you away for such statements"

as far as joe hamm goes, this is unfortunately one of the reasons i felt I should contact the FBI. in addition to the possibility that you misrepresented the dialogue which took place between hamm and I, which is obvious from your reference to me as a "guns and ammo" boy, you stated that you had notified the authorities, and on reflection I realized that as a citizen I may have a responsibility to report multiple statements made which appeared to threaten an individuals life. which is why I contacted the FBI.

and guess what dritteman? 80+% of what you think you know about me is total bullshit!

the health stuff is true...but, for instance, I do not own a gun, have never owned a gun, and have not even fired a gun in 15 years. I couldn't tell a .22 from a .45. my brother in law is not a lawyer. in fact I don't even have a brother in law. etc.

I'm sorry if I'm not stupid or violent enough to make the comments I know you would love me to make. not gonna happen, dritteman.

Weisman and Dritte talking to himself

- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ *Re: Weisman and Dritte talking to himself*
 - ◇ *From: derdrittemann2003*
- Prev by Date: *Re: Thanks for this DISGUSTING piece of GARBAGE... KATHLEEN, YOU ASSHOLE*
- Next by Date: *Re: Hey Mockingbird Can You Even Remember All the FAKE names you've used here you PSYCHOTIC LIAR?*
- Previous by thread: *Why globalization doesn't work*
- Next by thread: *Re: Weisman and Dritte talking to himself*
- Index(es):
 - ◆ *Date*
 - ◆ *Thread*