

Saddam was gonna spray Lyme Disease buggaboos on East Coast using Model Airplanes?!?

Saddam was gonna spray Lyme Disease buggaboos on East Coast using Model Airplanes?!?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med.diseases.lyme/2005-11/msg00864.html>

- *From:* "derdritteman2003.....I -Gotta-Go-Pee-Pee" <CALC@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 19 Nov 2005 00:52:22 -0500
-

November 12, 2005

http://benfrank.net/blog/2005/11/12/recall_the_uav_claim/

Who told the Senate that Saddam had WMDs capable of attacking the East Coast?

Filed under: Iraq, US Govt, media silenced, headline news, Peace MP3s – ben @ 12:45 pm

Direct evidence of LIES. Somebody tell the mainstream media.

1. "Someone"

took the Senators into a closed door session and told them Saddam had UAVs capable of hitting the East Coast. shortly after they voted on the Resolution.

Senator Bill Nelson (FL):

I, along with nearly every Senator in this Chamber, in that secure room of this Capitol complex, was not only told there were weapons of mass destruction—specifically chemical and biological—but I was looked at straight in the face and told that Saddam Hussein had the means of delivering those biological and chemical weapons of mass destruction by unmanned drones, called UAVs, unmanned aerial vehicles. Further, I was looked at straight in the face and told that UAVs could be launched from ships off the Atlantic coast to attack eastern seaboard cities of the United States. Is it any wonder that I concluded there was an imminent peril to the United States?

As far as I know, it has never been revealed who that "Someone" was that told the Senators about this threat. was it Cheney? They always say he's got 'gravitas'.

Bush also claimed (threatened):

"We've also discovered through intelligence that Iraq has a growing fleet of manned and unmanned aerial vehicles that could be used to disperse chemical or biological weapons across broad areas. We are concerned that Iraq is exploring ways of using these UAVs for missions targeting the United

Saddam was gonna spray Lyme Disease buggaboos on East Coast using Model Airplanes?!?

States."

mp3 audio – or – slideshow format

2. Dick Cheney

If you missed the Downing Street Memo Hearings, this is from CIA veteran Ray McGovern's opening statement:

On August 26, 2002, less than 5 weeks after the briefing at 10 Downing Street, Vice President Cheney gave a major speech in which he said, "We now know that Saddam has resumed his efforts to acquire nuclear weapons. Among other sources, we've gotten this from the first-hand testimony of defectors including Saddam's own son-in-law."

This was a lie.

Saddam's son-in-law, Hussein Kamel, told us just the opposite when he defected in 1995. Again, he told us just the opposite. You can find it on page 13 of his debriefing report. He said, "All weapons, biological, chemical, missile, nuclear, were destroyed." How did Kamel know this? He was in charge.

They were destroyed in July 2001. I'm sorry, in July 1991 at his order. Why? To prevent the U.N. inspectors from finding them after the war. And everything else, everything else Hussein Kamel told us checked out to be true.

Cheney's lie would have been able to stand were it not for the conscience of another patriotic whistle-blower who gave the text of Kamel's debriefing to Newsweek 4 weeks before the war as the drumbeat for war got louder and louder in early-2003. Newsweek broke the story on February 24, 2003, several weeks before the attack, but the information was suppressed by U.S. media.

mp3 audio of this statment

3. Bush

10/01/02 "Of course, I haven't made up my mind we're going to war with Iraq." – list of such lies

This theme was a huge part of selling the war. At the time, they were claiming that "war was a last resort" often, this vote in Congress was supposed to scare Saddam into disarming, it was not a "vote to remove Saddam from power", as Bush claimed.

11/11/05 "When I made the decision to remove Saddam Hussein from power, Congress approved it with strong bipartisan support."

Again, the vote was not to remove Saddam from power– this is a HUGE lie. Wouldn't it be nice if someone in the media would actually call him on that lie in a tv interview.. I dream of the day that a tv journalist decides to risk their career and really grill Bush, not just move on to the next

Saddam was gonna spray Lyme Disease buggaboos on East Coast using Model Airplanes?!?

Saddam was gonna spray Lyme Disease buggaboos on East Coast using Model Airplanes?!?

question.

4. Condoleeza Rice

get the video

"The fact of the matter is that when we were attacked on September 11, we had a choice to make. We could decide that the proximate cause was al Qaeda and the people who flew those planes into buildings and, therefore, we would go after al Qaeda.or we could take a bolder approach."

That's not how they sold us the war!

It wasn't like, "let's spend \$300 billion to remake the Middle East" – it was "We don't want the smoking gun to come in the form of a Mushroom Cloud."
(mp3)

Bush told us there were killers, "barbaric killers planning to attack us"
(mp3) – now Condi says they decided to take a bolder approach to sponsor a US makeover of the entire Middle East?!

5. Rumsfeld

(mp3 audio)

"So the money's gonna come from Iraq's Oil Revenue as everyone has said. They think it's gonna be something like \$2 billion this year, they think it might be something like 15. 12 next year, they think it might be something like 18 to 20 plus. 19? – the next year."

The war has already cost US \$300 Billion. with all \$300 billion going to weapons makers like the Carlyle Group, Lockheed Martin and to rebuilding firms Halliburton, Bechtel, etc. the Military–Industrial Complex has 'earned ' \$300,000,000,000 attacking and occupying a country that was not a threat to us, and American citizens are suffering as a result, though not nearly as much as Iraqis. These Bush Admin Lies have damaged America.

Wake up media. Stop being mouthpieces writing articles like: Bush says he didn't Lie, Hadley says Bush didn't lie, Cheney says they didn't lie. they did lie and as a result 100,000 are dead and we're out \$300 Billion. Do you really believe that, "they're lying again to stay out of prison" is an absurd notion?

Hitler called it "The Big Lie"

the little people will never suspect "the complete reversal of facts".

Other sources proving Bush's claim (that Congress had same intelligence) to be false– ie a flat out lie. But the mainstream media reports it as if it's true, just like they did with the pre–war lies.

Bush Resurrects False Claim That Congress Had "Same Intelligence" On Iraq

Saddam was gonna spray Lyme Disease buggaboos on East Coast using Model Airplanes?!?

Saddam was gonna spray Lyme Disease buggaboos on East Coast using Model Airplanes?!?

Asterisks Dot White House's Iraq Argument

A great collection Iraq WMD Lies: The Words of Mass Deception

Rep Waxman's Iraq on the Record– 237 misleading statements in a searchable database

Tags: bush, attack, iraq, oil, lies

* * *

12 Responses to "Who told the Senate that Saddam had WMDs capable of attacking the East Coast?"

1.. Anonymous Says:

November 13th, 2005 at 8:22 am

National security adviser Stephen J. Hadley, briefing reporters Thursday, countered "the notion that somehow this administration manipulated the intelligence." He said that "those people who have looked at that issue, some committees on the Hill in Congress, and also the Silberman–Robb Commission, have concluded it did not happen."

And Judge Laurence H. Silberman, chairman of Bush's commission on weapons of mass destruction, said in releasing his report on March 31, 2005: "Our executive order did not direct us to deal with the use of intelligence by policymakers, and all of us were agreed that that was not part of our inquiry."

<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2005/11/11/AR2005111101832.html>

There seems to be a disconnect here. The White House is confused and they think by lying that they are somehow going to change reality. If they have nothing to hide, why do republican controlled committees keep voting down investigations into intelligence, like the recent Resolution of Inquiry into the WHIG documents? If they want to illustrate their credibility why not support an investigation proving their innocence?

2.. AWTB Says:

November 15th, 2005 at 12:49 pm

Of course they lied. The real question is why.

A Zionist alliance with al Qaeda would be a total threat to the Western world. Especially if those Zionists had an agenda of spreading extreme anti-Semitism (to gather more sympathizers) and portraying Israel as an untrustworthy rogue state (in order to sabotage the road to peace) wherever possible.

Once you're in that zone, you'll be asking questions like why was Chandra Levy in Israel the week before she was murdered? Whose flag was being flown in this false flag operation against US intelligence overseer Gary Condit?

Saddam was gonna spray Lyme Disease buggaboos on East Coast using Model Airplanes?!?

Saddam was gonna spray Lyme Disease buggaboos on East Coast using Model Airplanes?!?

After Monica Lewinski, a lot of Democrats would secretly suspect sexual espionage. Who wanted that?

Why would Israelis at the O–Pee–Chee bubblegum factory in Brooklyn, New York want to be seen filming (and LOUDLY celebrating) the World Trade Center inferno? Whose flag is being proudly flown there? Why? Once you've established what, the next logical step is determining why.

3.. marla Says:

November 15th, 2005 at 2:03 pm

on the whitehouse website Ari admitted they were keeping information from congress.

"Q: It seems to be any classified information. So that's anything that the White House decides should be classified and they don't want to share with Congress.

MR FLEISCHER: The classification decisions are made by the appropriate intelligence officials. And, again, the President is going to make certain that this information is provided to the Congress so the people who need to know it will have full ability to have it, and he wants to make certain that the circle is diminished, so that nothing inadvertent can happen.

Q: Isn't it a huge shift of power to the Executive Branch?

MR FLEISCHER: That's correct – that's what the President has decided."

4.. apollonian Says:

November 17th, 2005 at 9:58 am

This all ("war on terror") is just Orwell's 1984 playing itself out. Jews (Pharisees) and "Sadduceans" of modern–day, Falwell, Robertson, and Limbaugh (who's actually a Jew, I now understand) leading the corrupt elders in support of Bush and "neo–con" conspirators. I'm afraid this forest fire will continue until it just burns itself out. Otherwise "elders" need to learn and find out "Judeo–Christianity" is contradiction in terms; Christianity is supposed to be antisemitic and designed to protect us fm Jew lies. But "fools die" and unfortunately there seem to be so many left, as I say. God help us. A.

5.. Patriota Says:

November 17th, 2005 at 1:22 pm

Benjamin Netanyahu Testifies About Iraq to Congress

Aired September 12, 2002 – 14:32 ET

BENJAMIN NETANYAHU, FORMER ISRAELI PRIME MINISTER: . form our own judgment today.

Did Israel launched that preemptive strike because Saddam had committed a specific act of terror against us? Did we coordinate our actions with the international community? Did we condition this operation on the approval of

Saddam was gonna spray Lyme Disease buggaboos on East Coast using Model Airplanes?!?

Saddam was gonna spray Lyme Disease buggaboos on East Coast using Model Airplanes?!?

the United Nations? No, of course no. Israel acted because, it understood, we understood, that a nuclear– armed Saddam would place our very survival at risk. And today the United States must destroy the same regime because a nuclear–armed Saddam will place the security of our entire world at risk.

And make no mistake about it: If and once Saddam has nuclear weapons, the terror network will have nuclear weapons. And once the terror network has nuclear weapons, it is only matter of time before those weapons will did he used. You cannot prevent a dictator who has used terrorism in the past, who cavorts are and supports and encourages terror organizations from using this weapon by giving it to someone, by having them threaten to use it against his enemies. Once one of the terror regimes, once one of the principal regimes in the terror network, has nuclear weapons, you cannot prevent the terror network from having nuclear weapons.

Two decades ago, it was possible to thwart Saddam's nuclear ambitions by bombing the single installation. But today, nothing less than dismantling his regime will do because Saddam's nuclear program has fundamentally changed in those two decades. He no longer needs one large reactor to produce the deadly material necessary for atomic bombs. He can produce it in centrifuges the sizes of washing machines that wan be hidden throughout the country.

And I want to remind you that Iraq is a very big country. It is not the size of Monte Carlo; it is a big country. And I believe that even free and unfettered inspections will not uncover these portable manufacturing sites of mass death.

So knowing this, I ask all the governments and others who oppose or question the president's plan to look at it from the other end of the logic: Do you believe that action can be taken against Saddam only after he builds nuclear bombs and uses them? And do the various criticism, specially overseas, believe that a clear connection between Saddam and September 11 must be established before we have a right to prevent the next September 11? Well, I think not.

I'll try to try give analogy. All analogies are imperfect, but here's one. If you try to defeat the mafia, you don't just go after the foot soldiers who carried out the last attack or even stop with the apprehension of the particular don who sent them. You go after the entire network of organized crime – all the families, all the organizations – all of them. Likewise, if you intend to defeat terror, you don't just go after the terrorists who carried out the last attack, or even the particular regime that sent them. You go after the entire network of terror. All the regimes that support terror, all the organizations that they harbor – all of them.

And doing this always entails the need to act before additional attacks are carried out. When the security of a nation is endangered, a responsible government has to take the actions that are necessary to protect its citizens and eliminate the threat that confronts them. And sometimes, this requires preemption.

Saddam was gonna spray Lyme Disease buggaboos on East Coast using Model Airplanes?!?

I have to say that in the history of democracies, preemption has been, in my mind, the most difficult choice for leaders to make, because at the time of the decision you could never prove the critics wrong, you could never show them the great catastrophe that was avoided by preemptive action. And yet we now know that had the democracies taken preemptive action to bring down Hitler in the 1930s, the worst horrors in history could have been avoided.

PHILLIPS: Former Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu testifying there before the House Government Reform Committee. A hearing is taking place entitled Conflict With Iraq, an Israeli Perspective. The former prime minister basically coming out and saying that weapons inspections have been ineffective. He believes they would continue to be ineffective, and that if Iraq were to even attack Israel, Israel would respond – making the final point that if you intend to defeat terror, you go after the entire network of terror, and that includes Saddam Hussein.

6.. rob Says:

November 17th, 2005 at 3:38 pm

The beef of this report IS we are threatened by MODEL AIRPLANES? Or we think we may be at risk of attack by model airplanes? Or attack is eminent by model airplanes? This is NOT even close to being in the same league as Pearl Harbor.??

7.. AWTD Says:

November 18th, 2005 at 10:09 am

(For Apollonian.) Christianity is the religion of the multicultural Roman Empire. A mass tendency to believe in Christianity is only possible under the circumstances of extreme stability.

Judaism is the religion of ancient Israel, a one nationality state. A mass tendency to believe in Judaism is only possible when extreme protectionist/isolationist policies can be practiced by the state.

Islam is the religion of the Arabic peoples who have historically been under siege. Mohammed's word is the general who will lead his followers out of the grasp of Islam's besiegers.

The words and stories of Jesus aren't merely an assault on Judaism, but on all the protectionist/isolationist religions of the caesarean era.

8.. Vic Says:

November 18th, 2005 at 11:17 am

You wrote: "In his book Mein Kampf, Hitler wrote that the masses could easily be fooled because, "they would never credit others with the possibility of such great impudence as the complete reversal of facts" – the Big Lie."

If you are going to accuse anyone of lying I would suggest that you put your own house in order first. The above quote is an attempt to defame a man who had more integrity in his toenail than all the present leaders of the

Saddam was gonna spray Lyme Disease buggaboos on East Coast using Model Airplanes?!?

"free" world put together. By selecting, and then also distorting, only a part of what was written you have placed yourself in the same camp of those you accuse of lying. As it appears you have never read Mein Kampf and your quote is but the parroting of what you have heard, I suggest you read the whole passage and then be honest enough to correct it online.

9.. Lou Says:

November 18th, 2005 at 3:43 pm

The US decision to stress the threat posed by Iraq's supposed weapons of mass destruction above all others was taken for "bureaucratic" reasons to justify the Administrations real reasons for preemptively going to war with Iraq, Deputy Defense Secretary Paul Wolfowitz was quoted in an interview with Vanity Fair as saying:

"For bureaucratic reasons we settled on one issue, weapons of mass destruction, because it was the one reason everyone could agree on."

Wolfowitz admitted that from the outset as U.S. inspectors were failing to find weapons of mass destruction within Iraq contrary to so many claims from the White House, Iraq's supposed cache of WMD had never been the most important casus belli. It was simply one of several reasons.

The only reason for the Iraqi War was because it was "politically convenient" to Bush and his administration after the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001.

The attacks were the huge excuse the administration needed and would use to legitimize the preemptive war on Iraq and we all know the lies they used to sell their agenda to the congress, America and the world.

Americans are finally waking up to the fact that they have been and still are being duped and lied to by this Bush administration and it is showing in an ever growing lack of trust for Bush and his cabal of loyalist.

Is there any question that Bush, Cheney, Rumsfeld, Powell, Rice and others in the administration outright lied to get us involved in this war with Iraq, NONE WHAT SO EVER, OF COURSE THEY LIED, RIGHT FROM THE START !

Fanatics just don't live in the mid-east, obviously their are some right in the White House !

10.. annwho2 Says:

November 18th, 2005 at 3:52 pm

Whatreallyhappened.com link to Shock and Awe gallery is an eye opener about these dangerous people (Iraqis) and how Our nation invaded them, attacked their lives, their homes, their families on the workds of these leaders, who have made a fortune for the military industrial complex. Take a moment to view the real scenes. These are people who have every right to the promises our leaders have been spewing, selling and that we will ultimately pay for. We cannot distance ourselves from this horror much longer. The debt in money and Karma comes back to the backs of the American people who have

Saddam was gonna spray Lyme Disease buggaboos on East Coast using Model Airplanes?!?

been goaded into this mess.

11.. J. Galt Says:

November 18th, 2005 at 7:42 pm

In the last 70 years, America has hit the skids – particularly in the last 40.

Why?

People are animals, and they subsist in subspecies (races) that fight for territory and power. One of the most parasitical, conniving, and destructive (to other subspecies) are jews. Read Professor Kevin MacDonald's academic book "The Culture of Critique," or go here:

go.vnn.com

12.. Steve Says:

November 18th, 2005 at 8:31 pm

All this is well and good and I hope that my countrymen will finally wake up and see the truth..But the bottom line is no matter what is done to the current administration for their treasonous acts, the legislation passed during their reign will not be changed, which is the puppetmasters intent..the administration is expendable..

• *Follow-Ups:*

- ◆ *How King Pellinore rode after the lady and the knight that led her away, and how a lady desired help of him*

◇ *From:* Alfalfa. son of Spanky, son of Hrothgar

- ◆ *Re: Saddam was gonna spray Lyme Disease buggaboos on East Coast using Model Airplanes?!?*

◇ *From:* *newsgroup leader*@googlygoo

- Prev by Date: *Re: The fate of kathLOON who was prematurely released!*
- Next by Date: *Pentagon has included Venezuela in its plans for future INVASION & OCCUPATION and to let Reverend Rufus Glittertooth dance on Chavez's grave???*
- Previous by thread: *Hey EvilChuck! ...looks like you and a coupla carloads of other idjits are the only ones left rooting for Bush!*
- Next by thread: *Re: Saddam was gonna spray Lyme Disease buggaboos on East Coast using Model Airplanes?!?*
- Index(es):
 - ◆ *Date*
 - ◆ *Thread*