

## Re: Topic "Lyme activist arrested in forum General Support" at LymeNet Flash

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med.diseases.lyme/2006-06/msg00017.html>

---

- *From:* "Yukon King" <[derdrittemann2003@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:derdrittemann2003@xxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* 6 Jun 2006 06:31:37 -0700
- 

Frank de Groot wrote:

(why do you use three dots instead of two, alphabetic?)

Well, because it's customary, Frank.

If I remember correctly, that sort of punctuation device is not considered "proper", "formal", in any event, but an informal conversational device only.

I try to separate thoughts, or sometimes, insert pauses for emphasis using those dots.

It's informal, as I say.

Please don't get yourself all upset about it.

Sorry if it offends you. Would you prefer commas?

YK:" ...but, isn't failing to help her because of her political views sort

of the moral equivalent of approving of her being (allegedly) locked up because of her political views"?

When someone is THAT delusional, it's much safer for us for her to be locked up.

Re: Topic "Lyme activist arrested in forum General Support" at LymeNet Flash

So, clearly, yo DO approve of locking people up because of their political views! (and Lisa in particular and let's not forget what you said about Kathleen when she was locked up).

Interesting, in a very Gulag, totalitarian sort of way.

Gee, Frank, did it ever occur to you that if you endorse a policy like that, that you really are in no position to complain if someone comes around and locks YOU up for your political beliefs?

(Although I pity the poor SOB that has to go to the trouble of finding out what your political beliefs are in the first place)...

She might have Lyme and she might have been incarcerated due to her activism, but someone denying 9/11 was done by PNAC operatives simply is a dangerous "conspiracy-theory" nutcase.

Oh...I see! So...you think she SHOULD be locked up.

.