

Re: Congratulations to Kathleen for being the most productive author

# Re: Congratulations to Kathleen for being the most productive author

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med.diseases.lyme/2007-02/msg00072.html>

---

- *From:* "BrentB" <[borgersbrent@xxxxxxxx](mailto:borgersbrent@xxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* 16 Feb 2007 15:31:34 -0800
- 

On Feb 16, 1:34 pm, Sewer Rat <[ratfromthese...@xxxxxxxx](mailto:ratfromthese...@xxxxxxxx)> wrote:

LunaTick wrote:

Productive? What do you mean?

If you are talking about all time posts, if they added all my posts from previous e-mail address it would be on top. Kathy has used the same e-mail since she started posting here, that is why it's so high.

The current e-mail I use was not used till 2005 ([chuckadam...@xxxxxxxx](mailto:chuckadam...@xxxxxxxx)) I have used nychillary2000 and few others before that since I was posting in here from the late 90's.

Yes, I know. If they added all posts from several e-mail addresses of one person, then the top 10 would look different. For example Frank de Groot would also have been high in the top 10.

And of course quantity is one thing, quality is another. IMO "The 3rd Man" is an example of a valuable contributor of this newsgroup.

at the risk of giving the ultimate compliment...I ALWAYS listen to what 3rd man says. BTW didn't you get your ass kicked in the super bowl 3rd man. must have fucking sucked. not that funny...cost me 50 bucks.