

Re: IDSA knows that chronic Lyme exists

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med.diseases.lyme/2008-06/msg00083.html>

- *From:* the 3rd Man <derdrittemann2003@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 9 Jun 2008 09:56:50 -0700 (PDT)
-

On Jun 9, 3:16 am, Tempo <nob...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

The IDSA is aware that chronic Lyme exists. We know this because members of the 2000 and 2006 Lyme disease guideline panels wrote, in research articles and patents, that chronic Lyme exists.

Seems as though you are equating survivability of spirochetes after antibiotic treatment with active, "chronic" disease.

While this may be evidence that would tend to suggest the possibility of recurring or persistent disease...are they necessarily the same thing?

Here is what the 2006 Guidelines say on the subject:

"There is no convincing biologic evidence for the existence of symptomatic chronic *B. burgdorferi* infection among patients after receipt of recommended treatment regimens for Lyme disease".

Notice the word "convincing".

In other words, they are aware of evidence that might suggest survivability.

But they don't "know" (I am assuming) that it necessarily means that "chronic" disease exists.

.