

Re: Allopathic arrogance from 1864 to 2007

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med.nutrition/2007-01/msg00622.html>

- *From:* "TC" <tunderbar@xxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 24 Jan 2007 10:03:04 -0800
-

On Jan 24, 10:50 am, capm...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

Smile, when asked for proof to support bald assertions we get the

above,

but not for the first time, par for the course. We are being asked one presumes to provide the support asked of him?" So you can immediately label it as cherry picking without even glancing

at it?

You show me I'm wrong. I know I'm right."

That is what we are asking you to provide support for, beyond your feeling you are "right". He who advances a notion is bound to provide the support for it. Others are not bound to do anything but may choose to respond when support is provided.

OK, right off the bat, we know that *you* are here not to debate anything logically, but simply to try to cast aspersions on anything that is contrary to your food industry cult agenda. Your asking me to provide proof is just a ruse for you to accuse me of cherry picking when I do provide proof.

So I see no reason to provide proof to *you* just for your predictable accusations of cherry picking.

I provide information to everyone to read and to prompt discussion. If you disagree with the info, fine, if you agree, even better. But in the end, I don't give a rat's ass what *you* think. So take your food industry cult troll garbage and go to aitch eeee double hockey sticks.

TC

.