

## Re: A cure for diabetes from 1806?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.med.nutrition/2007-02/msg00134.html>

---

- *From:* "TC" <[tunderbar@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:tunderbar@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* 6 Feb 2007 06:49:32 -0800
- 

On Feb 5, 9:59 pm, spam...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

On 5 Feb 2007 06:59:30 -0800, "TC" <tunder...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Fuck you. I know more about what I speak than you, the moron that equates all carbohydrates foods to sugar. Fucking moron.

Umm, I think you have gotten your correspondents in a knot. I still have not heard from you what dietary carbs you think are not effectively sugars. I guess you can't.

"Effectively", eh? Interesting attempt at a post post addendum. So when I speak of sugar, you think all dietary carbs, eh? Even when I am clearly and obviously speaking of sugar, eh? Oh, am I confusing you? Should I dumb it down some more and specify 100% pure white sugar crystals, or 100% hfcs, just so idiots like you aren't confused? I can see how some abject moron would not be able to differentiate between whole food carbs and pure sugar, or even blood glucose. I just don't understand how, if someone is that stupid, as you apparently are, how can you come into this and even attempt to argue with those of us who aren't nearly as intellectually challenged? Retard.

TC

Take your "economic models" and your pie-in-the-sky theories about things you have zero understanding, and shove them where the sun don't shine.

What a fuckin' idiot.

Re: A cure for diabetes from 1806?

Your family farmers probably went broke because they were related to you.

You show such a shallow level of knowledge on every topic that you touch upon that you embarrass us all every time you spout your inanities in this ng.

That's a keeper!

TC – Paid to denigrate the grain industry

jackass