

Re: HIV infection theory confronted

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.med.nutrition/2007-07/msg00059.html>

- *From:* TC <tunderbar@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 10 Jul 2007 13:54:36 -0000
-

On Jul 10, 5:03 am, GMCarter <f...@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Mon, 09 Jul 2007 11:47:24 -0700, TC <tunder...@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Jul 7, 5:48 am, GMCarter <f...@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Sat, 07 Jul 2007 02:26:05 -0700,
anilswapnilhj...@xxxxxxxxxx wrote:

A team of scientists from the Imperial
College in London suggested
that the presently accepted theory may be
wrong reports The Analyst
Magazine. I saw the report at

<http://theanalystmagazine.com/july2007/101.htm>

Wildly misinterpreted really. HIV causes AIDS...what's
unclear is HOW
it causes AIDS. People who aren't HIV infected don't see
their CD4
count plunge to zero over time.

By contrast, Duesberg has nothing sensible to say about that
whatsoever and never has. "Drugs" don't cause AIDS.

AZT's and other AIDs drugs have been shown to have side effects that
very closely mimicks the symptomology of AIDs.

AZT may cause anemia and muscle wasting. However it does NOT cause

Re: HIV infection theory confronted

CD4+ T cells to plummet to zero, which happens when people are NOT on AIDS drugs. To the contrary. And indeed, lower doses can work as well with fewer side effects, lowered HIV load and increase in T cells.

And many recreational drugs have been clearly shown to suppress the immune system. So your blanket statement of drugs not causing aids is misleading.

"Suppressing" the immune system is not the same as T cell counts dropping to zero and developing oddball opportunistic infections like Pneumocystis or MAI. Heroin doesn't cause that. Cocaine in any of its forms doesn't cause that.

"Suppress" the immune system enough with these drugs and general malnourishment and see what happens.

Trying to say drugs cause AIDS is just nonsense. They can CERTAINLY be harmful—even lethal—in their own ways. But that is NOT the same as AIDS.

AIDS is acquired immune deficiency. Suppress the immune system with any of several different factors over a long period of time and you will have acquired immune deficiency.

It's just a ridiculous conflation of issues that shows a deeply confused mind that does not know the facts.

George M. Carter– Hide quoted text –

– Show quoted text –

Chronic opiate and other drug abuse mixed with general malnourishment causes the same kind of immune suppression as HIV is supposed to cause. Fact.

The sad thing is that this obsession with the HIV has people looking for a drug answer to the wrong question. Many HIV positive victims were perfectly fine health-wise until they started on these drug cocktails. Many HIV positives don't show symptoms for decades. The HIV=AIDs people made up a word to describe them, non-progressors. That is not supposed to happen in virology.

Re: HIV infection theory confronted

In virology, if you have the virus you are supposed to shortly develop the symptoms, no ifs, ands, or buts. And if the virus causes the disease, then making up a vaccine should be a simple matter. but after thirty some odd years, there still isn't a vaccine.

Virology isn't rocket science. It is supposed to be pretty straightforward.

If HIV causes aids then where is the vaccine? Why are there HIV+ people without symptoms for decades and decades? Why are there HIV- people with symptoms of the disease? Why has the disease not progressed significantly outside of the high risk groups? Why are there multiple definitions of AIDS around the world? Why has the definition changed numerous times, every time being expanded and increasing the number of victims? Why is there no gold standard test for HIV?

.