

Re: HIV infection theory confronted

# Re: HIV infection theory confronted

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med.nutrition/2007-07/msg00072.html>

---

- *From:* GMCarter <fiar@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Wed, 11 Jul 2007 10:41:04 GMT
- 

On Tue, 10 Jul 2007 13:54:36 -0000, TC <tunderbar@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Jul 10, 5:03 am, GMCarter <f...@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Mon, 09 Jul 2007 11:47:24 -0700, TC <tunder...@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Jul 7, 5:48 am, GMCarter <f...@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Sat, 07 Jul 2007 02:26:05 -0700,  
anilswapnilhj...@xxxxxxxxxx wrote:

A team of scientists from  
the Imperial College in  
London suggested  
that the presently accepted  
theory may be wrong  
reports The Analyst  
Magazine. I saw the report  
at

<http://theanalystmagazine.com/july2007/101.htm>

Wildly misinterpreted really. HIV causes  
AIDS...what's unclear is HOW  
it causes AIDS. People who aren't HIV  
infected don't see their CD4  
count plunge to zero over time.

By contrast, Duesberg has nothing sensible  
to say about that  
whatsoever and never has. "Drugs" don't  
cause AIDS.

## Re: HIV infection theory confronted

AZT's and other AIDs drugs have been shown to have side effects that very closely mimicks the symptomology of AIDs.

AZT may cause anemia and muscle wasting. However it does NOT cause CD4+ T cells to plummet to zero, which happens when people are NOT on AIDS drugs. To the contrary. And indeed, lower doses can work as well with fewer side effects, lowered HIV load and increase in T cells.

And many recreational drugs have been clearly shown to suppress the immune system. So your blanket statement of drugs not causing aids is misleading.

"Suppressing" the immune system is not the same as T cell counts dropping to zero and developing oddball opportunistic infections like Pneumocystis or MAI. Heroin doesn't cause that. Cocaine in any of its forms doesn't cause that.

"Suppress" the immune system enough with these drugs and general malnourishment and see what happens.

Most people on ARV DO eat well. A multivitamin/mineral can help, whether on ARV or not.

The issue, dear, is that people with HIV NOT on ARV drugs (antivirals) see their CD4 count drop and AIDS develop. They die, like David Pasquarelli and Michael Bellefountaine did who believed HIV was harmless. They died of AIDS. Not malnutrition. Not antivirals.

Trying to say drugs cause AIDS is just nonsense. They can CERTAINLY be harmful—even lethal—in their own ways. But that is NOT the same as AIDS.

AIDS is acquired immune deficiency. Suppress the immune system with any of several different factors over a long period of time and you will have acquired immune deficiency.

That's what I'd call belligerent ignorance. AIDS is characterized by suppression of CD4 counts as the primary immune deficiency. Heroin doesn't cause that. AZT doesn't cause that.

## Re: HIV infection theory confronted

It's just a ridiculous conflation of issues that shows a deeply confused mind that does not know the facts.

George M. Carter– Hide quoted text –

– Show quoted text –

Chronic opiate and other drug abuse mixed with general malnourishment causes the same kind of immune suppression as HIV is supposed to cause. Fact.

Bullshit. Provide citations for this lie.

The sad thing is that this obsession with the HIV has people looking for a drug answer to the wrong question. Many HIV positive victims were perfectly fine health-wise until they started on these drug cocktails. Many HIV positives don't show symptoms for decades. The HIV=AIDs people made up a word to describe them, non-progressors. That is not supposed to happen in virology.

It happens in EVERY disease. Including viral infections. Herpes simplex I or II is a perfect example of a remitting/relapsing infection.

It would help your arguments if you didn't just make shit up.

In virology, if you have the virus you are supposed to shortly develop the symptoms, no ifs, ands, or buts. And if the virus causes the disease, then making up a vaccine should be a simple matter. but after thirty some odd years, there still isn't a vaccine.

There isn't just ONE vaccine for flu, is there?

"Shortly develop symptoms" -- wow...more made up nonsense.

Virology isn't rocket science. It is supposed to be pretty straightforward.

LOL...it's a lot more complex than a simple minded dolt like you

Re: HIV infection theory confronted

Re: HIV infection theory confronted

apparently can recognize. I mean, I'd be more sympathetic to you if you haven't been around this block before....but you have chosen to remain belligerently ignorant.

You remind me of Bush.

If HIV causes aids then where is the vaccine? Why are there HIV+ people without symptoms for decades and decades? Why are there HIV- people with symptoms of the disease? Why has the disease not progressed significantly outside of the high risk groups? Why are there multiple definitions of AIDS around the world? Why has the definition changed numerous times, every time being expanded and increasing the number of victims? Why is there no gold standard test for HIV?

These questions have all been addressed before. See here:

<http://www.aidstruth.org/>

Frankly, I'm quite sure you don't really care about the answers so you can embrace your delusions.

George M. Carter

.