

Re: Speaking of Fox News – Bill O'Reilly law suit

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med.transcription/2004-10/3105.html>

From: Phyllis (phyllisnilsson_at_buckeye-express.com)

Date: 10/16/04

Date: Sat, 16 Oct 2004 07:18:18 -0400

I have to agree with you. It wasn't like she needed the job. She had one at another network and came back to Fox supposedly "after" the calls started. I remember reading somewhere (but can't remember well enough to quote a source) that in some instances tape recordings can't even be admitted because they can be edited then re-recorded so no splice in the tape shows.

Dwilliams wrote:

> *In a word.....disgusting! My question would be if she found O'Reilly so
> repulsive why on earth would she return to work for him and secondly, why on
> earth would she continue to listen to these long monologues if she was so
> offended. Saw her tonight on some talk show and she didn't sound very
> credible and her lawyer didn't look to swooft either.*

>

> *Dee*

>

> *"Eliyahu Rooff" <lrooff@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:2tam3kF1tdaknU2@uni-berlin.de...*

>

>> *The complaint itself has specific quotes in it that make it clear
>> that this was transcribed from a tape. The use of "[sic]" in cites
>> is good evidence that they're not just going from memory. See,
>> <http://www.thesmokinggun.com/archive/1013043mackris1.html> for the
>> 22-page Complaint. Just be aware that parts of it are pretty
>> steamy... I've never seen one that explicit before.*

>>

>> *Eliyahu*

>>

>>

>

>

>