

Re: Stedman's Electronic Medical Dictionary

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med.transcription/2005-06/msg01918.html>

- *From:* "Barbara Carlson" <bbcarlson@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 26 Jun 2005 22:30:35 -0400
-

Neal I've been using it for a few years. Find it very useful and use it more often than the printed version. Like being able to use the wild cards.

Barb C.

"Neal" <nbrown12@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:Pr-dnfDlpeIzqyLfRVn-rw@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx

- > Okay, folkies.... It's time to start a new conversation. Dadburnit! I
- > had something I wanted to ask a few minutes ago, but now I forgot.
- >
- > Oh, I do think of something, though.
- >
- > Is anyone using Stedman's Electronic Medical Dictionary? I just got it
- > installed, and it looks pretty neat.
- >
- > Neal

• **References:**

- ◆ **[Stedman's Electronic Medical Dictionary](#)**
◇ *From:* Neal

- Prev by Date: **[Re: Steady Work for Qualified MTs](#)**
- Next by Date: **[Re: Steady Work for Qualified MTs](#)**
- Previous by thread: **[Re: Stedman's Electronic Medical Dictionary](#)**
- Next by thread: **[Word 2000 For Windows For DUMMIES](#)**
- Index(es):
 - ◆ **[Date](#)**
 - ◆ **[Thread](#)**