

Re: OT: Anyone a landlord?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med.transcription/2005-08/msg02319.html>

- *From:* RaeMorrill <RaeMorrill@xxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 29 Aug 2005 21:33:41 GMT
-

You have a choice to show compassion. I guess big banks don't.
Juliew8 wrote:

On 29 Aug 2005 10:41:42 -0700, "Tallulah" <tallulahbankrupt@xxxxxxxxxxx>
gave thanks and said:

Banks charge overdraft fees for a reason. Even if you're just a few dollars in the hole, they nail you with what -- a \$20 or \$25 overdraft charge and send it back? Then you get to pay again at the store you bounced it at. Bottom line is you knew it would happen, and if you added wrong in the check register, too bad. They don't want to hear why, they just don't want to deal with floating unauthorized loans.

No one questions that (they might complain, but people know it's going to be the consequence). It's put in place as a way of trying to make people know the banks mean business, and they aren't going to waiver for whatever reason. They just don't want to deal with that nonsense. It has nothing to do with whether that's what it costs them to run that check through a few times. It is what the person agreed to when they opened the account, just like what you find in a rental agreement.

Some people seem to be confused, on one hand seeming to agree that rental properties are a business and ought to be run as such... and on the other hand claiming that when business practices are applied the landlord/business is coldhearted.

I know ****I'm**** not confused.

~~~~~\*\*\*\*\*~~~~~\*\*\*\*\*~~~~~\*\*\*\*\*  
To send me e-mail, use [juliew8@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:juliew8@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Re: OT: Anyone a landlord?