

Re: Cheney shoots fellow hunter

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.med.transcription/2006-02/msg01308.html>

- *From:* RaeMorrill <RaeMorrill@xxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 16 Feb 2006 01:14:47 GMT
-

Someone on another board I frequent now says there is talk that he didn't have a proper license and that the ranch is owned by one of the biggest lobbyists.

Barbara Carlson wrote:

According to the news, Cheney admitted to having a beer with his lunch—which they claimed was 5 hours before. (If it happened at 3:00 o'clock that would have made lunch at 10 a.m.?) I wouldn't call a beer at lunch a problem, but the thing is that is just his word and the delay involved raises suspicions that, if not true, could have been completely dispelled with prompt reporting. The situation stinks of coverup! Which, because of their actions may lead to totally unfair possible conclusions!

Barb C.

"Phyllis Nilsson" <phyllisnilsson@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message news:aY6dnaiml_g67G7eRVn-jA@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

Alcohol wouldn't dissipate that fast. If they'd taken a blood test it would have shown up. I understood the authorities were called just an hour or two after the shooting; it was the press who wasn't notified until later.

RaeMorrill wrote:

Well, we'll never know now will we? They can't do a test the next day and find out. I'd bet in any case involving any other average citizen, one of the first things a cop would ascertain is if there was alcohol involved and if there were any suspicion, they'd be doing blood or breath tests to determine if there was. Nice to be able to side-step that and get away with it.

Phyllis Nilsson wrote:

Was he drinking?

Re: Cheney shoots fellow hunter

Susan Mitchell wrote: