

## Re: Digital recorders

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med.transcription/2006-02/msg01376.html>

---

- *From:* Jeannie Wilson <[jwilson421@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:jwilson421@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Thu, 16 Feb 2006 10:42:06 -0600
- 

"Chris" <[chrissypete2@xxxxxxx](mailto:chrissypete2@xxxxxxx)> wrote here for all to see [news:1140107043.203397.22240@xx](mailto:news:1140107043.203397.22240@xx):

sort of file created and chosen daily to which it should download to avoid any of the files with just the numbers already preassigned getting lost amongst the daily buildup of the downloads. Still have to rename the files to reflect the dates of dictation with it though. I love that you can put the dictator's initials on their recorder, but it would be even more time saving if it could somehow be programmed to choose a date to be assigned as the file name versus that chronological number.

I don't rename the files on my end, I just plop them in a folder with the name of the date of upload and the doctor. That keeps me having the original names in case the office ever loses track of anything or thinks something isn't turned in. I have had duplicate numbers but that is usually rare and isn't too confusing when/if the doc has set up his recorder with his name or initials. The duplicates always have something like 2200056\_1, so I know which one is a repeat number, etc.

.