

# Re: OT: AARP

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med.transcription/2006-02/msg01696.html>

---

- *From:* Phyllis Nilsson <[phyllisnilsson@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:phyllisnilsson@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Sat, 18 Feb 2006 23:37:43 -0500
- 

The key word is "need". I sincerely doubt there is any state in the union that doesn't have housing for less than \$100,000. You are confusing "want" with "need".

If you "want" something that costs \$100,000 that is fine. But there are many people who need housing and they don't spend \$100,000 for it.

Sandi wrote:

That must surely be a typo, Phyllis. Did you actually say "No one needs a \$100,000 home..."? Do you actually have any concept of what \$100,000 buys in areas OTHER than yours? My house appraises for well over that, and I live in a place where housing is relatively modest. Trust me, mine is not even a nice house. \$100K doesn't buy much hardly anywhere, and where it does, there's no work to pay for it.

Strike that. \$100K doesn't even buy much in my hometown, where there is no work.

And before you say, then don't buy a house because you can't afford it, the rentals – modest rentals, little 2–bedroom apartments – are comparable in monthly cost. I honestly believe that you are just speaking from personal experience, which bears no resemblance to the current state of the economy most places today.

Sandi

"Phyllis Nilsson" <[phyllisnilsson@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:phyllisnilsson@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>