

Re: OT: AARP

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med.transcription/2006-02/msg01697.html>

- *From:* "Sandi" <sanditypes@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 18 Feb 2006 23:39:28 -0500
-

"Phyllis Nilsson" <phyllisnilsson@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
<news:8oGdnefd5IKVa2reRVn-gg@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

The key word is "need". I sincerely doubt there is any state in the union that doesn't have housing for less than \$100,000. You are confusing "want" with "need".

If you "want" something that costs \$100,000 that is fine. But there are many people who need housing and they don't spend \$100,000 for it.

It's quite apparent that you aren't going to concede that APPROPRIATE housing (not luxurious, but appropriate, meaning somewhere you don't have to put your children to bed on the floor to avoid stray gunfire) may cost more than most people in a given area can COMFORTABLY afford. So I will leave you to your delusion and not argue about it any more.

By the way, that housing I just described? It's a NEED.

Sandi

.