

Re: Shades of gray?

Re: Shades of gray?

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.med.transcription/2006-03/msg02152.html>

- *From:* "Ed Chait" <edchait4remove@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 26 Mar 2006 21:57:07 GMT
-

"Su" <no.name@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
[news:aazVf.2338\\$yn4.1434@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](news:aazVf.2338$yn4.1434@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

"Ed Chait" <edchait4remove@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
[news:YDnVf.10273\\$k75.6456@xx](news:YDnVf.10273$k75.6456@xx)

"RaeMorrill" <RaeMorrill@xxxxxxx> wrote in message
[news:bknVf.6799\\$Mj.6546@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](news:bknVf.6799$Mj.6546@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

But so many "christians" do just this. They claim one thing,
but then if
anyone "judges" them on breaking God's law (clear word not
minor stuff),
they turn around and call them judgmental.

Ed Chait wrote:

The problem with me thinking that
everything issue is a shade of gray
is that I can then rationalize and justify
pretty much anything I want
to do.

I believe a lot of the ills of our current
society are due directly to
that kind of thinking.

Everybody goes around saying "it's all good"
these days.

It most definitely is not all good.

ed

Then they are not taking advantage of feedback that could be useful to

Re: Shades of gray?

their growth.

Of course, if that feedback is given in a negative way, people are not going to listen to it anyways.

And what feedback is that, Ed? Where does it come from?

You're a smart lady, you tell me.

ed

.