

Re: OT question

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.med.transcription/2006-03/msg02330.html>

- *From:* "Su" <no.name@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 28 Mar 2006 10:29:25 -0600
-

"RaeMorrill" <RaeMorrill@xxxxxxx> wrote in message
[news:DFbWf.19773\\$Da7.14347@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:DFbWf.19773$Da7.14347@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Of course then how do you explain the text that says something like
Nothing is forbidden to me, but some things aren't good for me. It
certainly sounds like you can do, eat, drink what you want – it might not
be healthy but it isn't forbidden.

From my POV, it's just another example of Biblical doubletalk of course –
you can almost w/o exception find "loopholes" for about anything.

W.C. Fields (atheist) said just that, when somebody asked why he was reading
the bible.