

## Re: backdating reports

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.med.transcription/2006-03/msg02610.html>

---

- *From:* "Laura Gibson" <[transpec3@xxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:transpec3@xxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Fri, 31 Mar 2006 08:42:55 -0600
- 

Yeah, it is doing what the client told you to do, and the client is telling you to lie. That doesn't make it right or ethical.

Laura

"RaeMorrill" <[RaeMorrill@xxxxxxx](mailto:RaeMorrill@xxxxxxx)> wrote in message  
[news:BZaXf.10403\\$Mj.5106@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:BZaXf.10403$Mj.5106@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Not lying – it's doing what your client told you to do. It's not up to me to be their conscience. If they tell me to put redictated on a report I know darned well they never dictated in the first place, no different.

Phyllis Nilsson wrote:

I wouldn't lie in court, but I wouldn't lie on paper either.

RaeMorrill wrote:

I think it is totally unethical for a professional to ask for this. However, it's not MY responsibility in end. Same would go for me though. I'd certainly not lie about it in court. They should think of that before they pull that stuff.