

## Re: OT: Shades of gray?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.med.transcription/2006-04/msg00251.html>

---

- *From:* "Ed Chait" <[edchait4remove@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:edchait4remove@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Tue, 04 Apr 2006 20:03:50 GMT
- 

"RaeMorrill" <[RaeMorrill@xxxxxxx](mailto:RaeMorrill@xxxxxxx)> wrote in message  
[news:CLyYf.33400\\$Da7.5074@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:CLyYf.33400$Da7.5074@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Well, if something is translated as written there's nothing divine about it. Words are words and any linguist that knows them and historical nuances for exact meaning is capable. Likely it would be more accurate if a translator did not have a specific axe to grind. Imagine if they found adding one word or using an acceptable definition for it would mean completely changing scripture to something they vehemently believe is wrong?

I agree.

But I also believe that if the panel approaches the project with an attitude of sincerity and prayer, that there is divine guidance in answer to those sincere prayers.

ed

.