

# Re: Voice Recognition replacing us?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.med.transcription/2006-12/msg00712.html>

---

- *From:* RaeMorrill <RaeMorrill@xxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Tue, 12 Dec 2006 16:21:52 GMT
- 

I guess if that is "good enough" for the clients, it doesn't matter much. I could probably type way more and be at least that accurate if I didn't proofread. If I were willing to do that, I could lower my rates and still make more money.

JulieW8 wrote:

On Sat, 09 Dec 2006 08:50:53 -0700, Neal <nbrown12@xxxxxxxxxxxx> tossed a dime in the pot and said:

JulieW8 wrote:

You'd be amazed at what these systems can actually do.  
There are some  
better than others, but the "sales job" is less and less of an  
inflation of reality.

They can be programmed and customized down to the level  
of dictator  
and report type, and achieve accuracy of 95% or better for  
80% of  
dictators.

I would not be amazed at this type of performance. Is the above a typo?

Maybe you'd be amazed to know there are a lot of MTs right here in the  
US who aren't that accurate. No, it wasn't a typo.

~~~~~\*\*\*\*\*~~~~~\*\*\*\*\*~~~~~\*\*\*\*\*

To send me e-mail, use juliew8@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx