Re: I have figured part of it out.

Source: http://sci.tech−archive.net/Archive/sci.med.transcription/2008−06/msg00621.html

- *From*: RaeMorrill <RaeMorrill.3b4370@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
- *Date*: Mon, 16 Jun 2008 18:59:19 +0000

I personally think that taking a stand against some just because he or she cheated on a spouse will end up backfiring when someone who claims to be squeaky clean on other side pointing fingers turns out to be doing the same thing. For example, good old Newt Gingrich throwing stones at Clinton, then it turns out he did the same thing and, if I recall correctly, left his wife or divorced her when she was pretty much on her death bed. Did he lie about it? If not outright, it was certainly an omission of the truth. Castigating Clinton while doing or having done the same thing without revealing it is even worse. Bet there have been very few powerful world leaders that have not been guilty of this, including many presidents of this country, many of whom are well respected in spite of it. Just about anyone would lie about this − doesn't necessarily make them dishonest otherwise. There are also plenty of liars who have never cheated. Human nature − that's one thing nobody that's doing it is likely to tell the truth about. Too much to lose.
Look at all the preachers caught doing the same thing, preachers who probably were actively condemning Bill while hiding someone under their own desks!

As far as Hillary, my mom commented to me that she didn't like her, but she did have to allow that she had really worked hard. I guess I will be voting for Obama, because I won't be voting for McCain.

−−
RaeMorrill
−−

RaeMorrill's Profile: http://www.scribera.org/forum/member.php?userid=982
View this thread: http://www.scribera.org/forum/showthread.php?t=6818

.