

Re: Is SCIENCE magazine 2 or more years behind; Re: Orrorin

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med/2004-09/0434.html>

From: Archimedes Plutonium (*a_plutonium_at_iw.net*)

Date: 09/06/04

Date: Mon, 06 Sep 2004 02:33:59 -0500

Sun, 5 Sep 2004 12:32:21 +0100 Mario Petrinovich wrote:

> *If people were stone throwers, the way you are suggesting, they
> wouldn't need tennis rackets. First of all, their arm muscles wouldn't
> deteriorate. At least, they would retain their monkey's power (if not enhance
> this), except that they will be adapted for stone throwing. Which means, we
> would be able to propel a stone in 1 tenth of a second, with great speed. We
> wouldn't need machine guns, or spears, or swords. Today, maybe we are better
> stone throwers than dogs, but still, this is pathetic. And I don't see any
> reason to believe that this was EVER in better state. -- Mario*

You have it almost 180degrees backward.

The easiest picture will be when Earth communicates with alien planets and then it is found out that all life that has civilization came from trees because primates live in trees and tree swinging and tree climbing require development of muscles in arms and legs for which it is a small progression away from using those limbs to Throw stones and rocks.

Chimpanzees are designed to live in trees and they can throw underarm. No other animal creature has 4 limbs where 2 of those limbs are easily adapted to begin to throw rocks and stones.

So when we communicate in the future to alien planets it should come as no surprize that all of them had ancestors similar to apes which lived in trees on their planets and who began throwing rocks and stones which created bipedalism and which eventually created civilization.

All aliens with civilizations look like humans because civilization requires a time period for which a wild animal species Throws rocks and stones to transform that wild species into an intelligent species. All of the sciences owe their debt to a creature that began throwing stones and rocks.

So the muscles of climbing and living in trees is not far distant from the muscles to Throw. And that makes sense on a progression logic. If you have preOreopithecus that was quadraped and not throwing and then have a Throwing

sci.med: Re: Is SCIENCE magazine 2 or more years behind; Re: Orrorin

Oreopithecus but not quite biped and much later have a Orrin that is Throwing and fully biped. That this progression entails that the muscles and bones anatomy are starkly different for bipedalism than for Throwing.

What I am saying is that Throwing and living in trees blend so closely together that it is hard to find where the muscles and bones differentiate between living in trees and Throwing. But when you get to bipedalism which is created from Throwing behaviour that the bone structure is easily found to have Unique differences. It is harder to find unique differences between Throwing and living-in-trees and easy to find unique differences in the leg bones to prove bipedalism because it required millions of years of changes due to Throwing.

Archimedes Plutonium

www.archimedesplutonium.com

www.iw.net/~a_plutonium

whole entire Universe is just one big atom where dots of the electron-dot-cloud are galaxies