

## Re: clinton surgery question

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med/2004-09/2190.html>

---

**From:** MU (*munospam\_at\_fastmail.fm*)

**Date:** 09/26/04

Date: Sun, 26 Sep 2004 11:14:33 -0400

On Fri, 24 Sep 2004 20:08:35 -0400, Happy Dog wrote:

> *You said that reward and punishment are not meted out in the afterlife. (I said this was an assumption and you said it was incorrect.)*

Never said that.

>> *One can be*

>> *skeptical without the need to know; you confuse "desire to know" with need*

>> *to know. I desire to know, and will, someday but I have no need to know*

>> *until then. God's Plan.*

On Fri, 24 Sep 2004 20:08:35 -0400, Happy Dog wrote:

> *A skeptic who doesn't need to ask questions? How cute.*

I ask questions. Read more carefully.

> *So much for skepticism. Anyone with a skeptical bone would ask why*

> *Christian adherents are in the minority. But you just don't care to know.*

Correct. Lemmings running off cliffs are in the majority at that time. A better question is why is it that you find the need to be in the majority to be right?

>> *God lets me know what He feels I need to know. Faith not right thinking*

>> *opens that channel of knowledge.*

On Fri, 24 Sep 2004 20:08:35 -0400, Happy Dog wrote:

> *So why do people of different*

> *faiths get different messages? How do you know that yours is true?*

Satan has his hand in this world for one. I know mine is true because God has been kind enough to reaffirm me with increasing amounts of faith and comfort in His Plan.

On Fri, 24 Sep 2004 20:08:35 -0400, Happy Dog wrote:

>>>>> *So when I ask you to show us, in  
>>>>> detail, exactly what the no-shit teachings are that eclipse all the  
>>>>> other  
>>>>> no-shit teachings of other gods, you'll put it in a succinct and  
>>>>> credible  
>>>>> form. Not.*

>> *I don't know what a "no shit" teaching is. Try again. No shit, please do.*

On Fri, 24 Sep 2004 20:08:35 -0400, Happy Dog wrote:

> *Yes you do mu mu. It's the real version of universal reality that the  
> faithful, oops, Christian faithful, understand. But, like I said, can't  
> explain in a rational way to skeptics or non-believers.*

Difficult to explain something to someone who hasn't the tools to understand. And you won't get those tools from God until you ask for them.

>>>> *See above regarding drinking.*  
>>>  
>>> *Gee MU, you've really seized on this particular insult. Projecting  
>>> perhaps?  
>>  
>> Projecting what? You post like you are imbibed, that's all.*

On Fri, 24 Sep 2004 20:08:35 -0400, Happy Dog wrote:

> *Occasionally. Better than drunk on Jesus.*

How would you know?