

Some key vaccination fraud history (and Schroeder's SLAPP)

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med/2004-11/1247.html>

From: Todd Gastaldo (tgastaldo_at_earthlink.net)

Date: 11/15/04

Date: Mon, 15 Nov 2004 15:43:58 GMT

Attention Dan Rather/CBS 60 Minutes (via 60II@cbsnews.com) and Chiro Censor Bob Dubin, DC...

SOME KEY VACCINATION FRAUD HISTORY

The obvious vaccination fraud is ****MD**** fraud...

Yet Univ of Calgary's Margaret L. Russell MD Ph.D. FRCPC et al. write in this December's journal VACCINE:

"Concerns have been raised about the beliefs and behaviours of ***chiropractors*** related to [vaccination]..."

Vaccine. 2004 Dec 2;23(3):372-9. PubMed abstract (emphasis added)

Yet again I raise concerns about the beliefs and behaviors of ****MDs**** related to vaccination.

MDs are engaging in truly bizarre vaccination behavior...

Pseudonymous vaccine fraud promoter PF Riley, MD alleges that ***I*** am lying by omission.

As discussed below, MDs are **STILL** lying by omission – failing to file **MANY** serious vaccine adverse event reports **YEARS** after Congress demanded that MDs file serious vaccine adverse event reports. (Why did Congress have to **DEMAND** that MDs make these reports that are crucial for determining vaccine safety?)

I call for...

PARDONS FOR MDs – EVEN FOR VACCINE FRAUD PROMOTER PF RILEY, MD...

It's not just the vaccination fraud – it's other massive MD felonies too...

As naive med students, MDs are **TRAINED** to perform felonies.

sci.med: Some key vaccination fraud history (and Schroeder's SLAPP)

We should pardon them in advance to help them end their felonies more quickly.

See below...

Usenet pediatrician "PF Riley" MD <pfriley@watt-not.com> wrote in message news:4akgp0p1r7c0vv5f76i8lo6r7scubs7a1p@4ax.com...

> *Todd Gastaldo, D.C., said:*

>

> *"It is extremely COMMON... for MDs to fraudulently promote their vaccines as being 100% effective."*

>

> *Yet he is unable to produce anything to support this claim.*

<http://groups.google.com/groups?hl=en&lr=&c2coff=1&selm=4akgp0p1r7c0vv5f76i8lo6r7scubs7a1p%404ax.com>

A VACCINATION CHARADE: INFORMED CONSENT

...[O]ne could argue we should dispense with the consent charade..."

—Mark D. Fox, MD, PhD. *Pediatric Annals*. (Jul)2001;30:422–23

Fuller excerpt from Dr. Fox's comments...

"The thrust of the immunization spiel (as I learned it) is to elicit *assent* from parents...[L]iterature provided does not disclose risks or alternatives in sufficient depth to qualify as 'informed' consent. Moreover, these machinations mimicking [obtaining informed] consent are employed despite the fact that [vaccinations] are virtually mandatory. Informed consent...requires not only sufficient information, but also the presence of legitimate choice...[O]ne could argue we should dispense with the consent charade..."

—Mark D. Fox, MD, PhD. *Pediatric Annals*. (Jul)2001;30:422–23

MDs don't NEED to dispense with the consent charade because...

Parents learn from MD threats to send only vaccine exempt children home that vaccinations are 100% effective, as in,

"Parents should be advised of state laws...which may require that [unvaccinated] children stay home from school during outbreaks."

—American Academy of Pediatrics/AAP^^^

^^^From Informing patients and parents. In: Pickering LK, ed. 2000 Red Book: Report of the Committee on Infectious Diseases, 25th ed. Elk Grove Villiage, IL: American Academy of Pediatrics 2000:4. Quoted in Frederickson et al. *Pediatric Annals*. (Jul)2001;30:401

Parents are asked to SIGN the obvious fraud:

"If my child does not receive the vaccine(s)...consequences may include...the need for my child to stay out of daycare or school during disease outbreaks."

sci.med: Some key vaccination fraud history (and Schroeder's SLAPP)

—American Academy of Pediatrics 2002

<http://www.cispimmunize.org/pro/pdf/RefusaltoVaccinate2.doc>

There is NOTHING whatsoever on the AAP form about the need to send ALL children home because many vaccinated children are not immunized by their vaccinations – which brings me to...

THE FOUNDATION OF THE FRAUD

Vaccinations are NOT immunizations. Even though MDs fraudulently call them immunizations, vaccinations are only ATTEMPTED immunizations. Sometimes vaccinations fail to immunize.

KEY POINT: FAR more children are not immunized by vaccinations than are not immunized because they are exempted from vaccinations.

It is fraudulent – illegal actually – to protect (send home) vaccine exempt children during disease outbreaks and endanger (not send home) the far greater number of children not immunized by their vaccinations.

While medicine's fraudulent vaccination promotion does endanger vaccinated children (above and beyond any danger caused by vaccine toxicity)...

THE TRUE POWER OF THE FRAUD is promotion of vaccination by causing "hardship for the [vaccine exempt] child and parent," as in this quote from the website of CDC's maximum vaccination cheerleader Deborah Wexler, MD:

"What if you don't [vaccinate] your child?...During disease outbreaks, [unvaccinated] children may be excluded from school or child care until the outbreak is over...for their own protection...This causes hardship for the child and parent."

—Wexler's Immunization Action Coalition/IAC

<http://www.immunize.org/catg.d/p4017.htm>

When I called the registered nurse/RN bureaucrat here in Oregon, she assured me that even if there is a small outbreak, vaccine exempt children will be sent home.

The RN bureaucrat sort of orgasmed as she told me that if people don't have their children vaccinated – they will have to stay home from work and hire tutors – VERY EXPENSIVE – she climaxed. :)

It was obviously fraudulent MD vaccination promotion – assisted by a willing MD–handmaiden/RN...

Pseudonymous pediatrician PF Riley, MD pretends that his profession isn't fraudulently promoting vaccinations as being 100% effective thereby selectively applying a financial cattleprod to those seeking vaccine exemptions – causing "hardship for the [vaccine exempt] child and parent."

Some key vaccination fraud history (and Schroeder's SLAPP)

sci.med: Some key vaccination fraud history (and Schroeder's SLAPP)

Pseudonymous pediatrician PF Riley, MD understandably does not wish to address the FACT that American medicine's most frequent surgeries constitute obvious criminal negligence that sometimes escalates to criminally negligent homicide...

See Pediatrician 'ethics' (Attn: Gesundheit et al.)

<http://health.groups.yahoo.com/group/chiro-list/message/2908>

MASS IMMUNOLOGIC CHILD ABUSE...

MDs are mostly ANTI-immunization.

The vaccination consent charade is just more fraudulent vaccination promotion as MDs lie by omission and deny massive numbers of babies massive numbers of free daily immunizations.

In their lie of omission...

MDs are failing to explicitly state that breastfeeding women scan for pathogens and manufacture IMMUNIZATIONS which they "inject" with their breasts daily.

MDs are failing to explicitly state that these breastimmunizations reportedly make MD-needle-vaccinations work better.

Very few women explicitly informed of these facts would fail to at least ATTEMPT to breastfeed/immunized their babies daily.

MDs are ignoring a way to make the immunization rate skyrocket.

MDs are mostly ANTI-immunization.

MDs are committing mass IMMUNOLOGIC child abuse as they commit mass PHYSICAL child abuse.

See again: Pediatrician 'ethics' (Attn: Gesundheit et al.)

<http://health.groups.yahoo.com/group/chiro-list/message/2908>

I must concede, though, that MDs can legally commit felonies – which is not the same as saying that what they are doing is legal – it isn't...

Pseudonymous usenet pediatrician PF Riley, MD continues...

> *He LIES by*

> *omission by claiming this is promoted only by school policies*

> *excluding unvaccinated children in the event of a disease outbreak,*

<http://groups.google.com/groups?hl=en&lr=&c2coff=1&selm=4akgp0p1r7c0vv5f76i8lo6r7scubs7a1p%404ax.com>

FALSE.

sci.med: Some key vaccination fraud history (and Schroeder's SLAPP)

The MD mass immunologic child abuse felony is promoted by state "immunization" laws which fail to state that vaccinations are NOT immunizations – which fail to state that most immunizations are derived from mother-administered breastfeedings.

Talk about lies of omission!

Congress had to DEMAND that MDs make serious adverse vaccine reaction reports – the FDA commissioner indicated MDs were failing to make up to 99% of such reports years after Congress demanded them!

SOME KEY VACCINATION FRAUD HISTORY...

One of the saddest commentaries on organized medicine's attitude regarding establishing vaccine safety is pediatrician Martin Smith's essay about the passage of the "National Childhood Vaccine Injury Compensation Act," published in the journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. [Pediatrics 1988;82(2):264–9]

In his essay, Smith [1988] wrote that "members should be informed of the necessity that led to the inclusion of some of the provisions in the act as they now exist."

Specifically, Smith [1988] noted that "many [vaccine] administrators have not heretofore practiced" reporting adverse events; but that "these requirements *had to be accepted* in the process of negotiations through the years – because "Congress had *demanded* the inclusion of the reaction reporting requirement as a condition to the legislation." (Emphasis added.)

In noting that Congress's adverse reaction reporting requirement will give "a better epidemiologic store of information," Smith [1988] admitted a key point: No one knows "the real facts" about vaccine reactions/vaccine safety! [Smith M. National Childhood Vaccine Injury Compensation Act. Pediatrics 1988;82(2):264–9]

According to the subsequent National Academy of Sciences vaccine safety report mandated by the Act, "many gaps and limitations of knowledge bear...directly and indirectly on the safety of vaccines...[including]...limited capacity of existing surveillance systems of vaccine injury..." [Howson CP, Howe CJ, Fineberg HV. Adverse effects of pertussis and rubella vaccines. National Academy Press 1991]

How bad are existing physician surveillance systems of vaccine injury?

Hopefully they are better than they were in 1993 when former FDA commissioner David Kessler, M.D. reported evidence that physicians fail to report up to 99% of serious adverse events. [Kessler DA. Introducing MEDWatch: a new approach to reporting medication and device adverse effects and product problems. JAMA (Jun2)1993;269(21):2765–68]

Again that 2002 quote from Moore and Weiss...

"It is almost certain that the overall total of death and serious injury associated with drug adverse events is substantially higher than reported here. According to a recent FDA report, 'About 90% of serious or fatal adverse drug reactions are never reported. Some studies have found reporting rates around 1%.'"

—Moore TJ, Weiss SR, et al. Reported adverse drug events in infants and children under 2 years of age. *Pediatrics*, November 2002:110(5), p. e53. www.pediatrics.org/cgi/reprint/110/5/e53

Kessler [1993] said that in spite of the fact that reports from health professionals are "essential" to ensure safety of medicines, physicians "do not think to report adverse events."

Also according to Kessler [1993], physician reporting of serious adverse events "is not in the culture of US medicine" because, as of 1985, only 14% of US medical schools had required courses in "therapeutic decision making."

Unfortunately, I do not have the CDC Vaccine Information Sheet for Hep B...but the CDC's Vaccine Information Sheet for Measles, Mumps and Rubella (MMR) states, "As with any medicine, there are very small risks," which implies that "any medicine" carries "very small risks"

Given FDA commissioner Kessler's statement that one study found that physicians fail to report 99% of serious adverse events, "any medicine" might actually be quite risky. And since the CDC Vaccine Information Sheet compares vaccine risk with the risk of "any medicine," vaccines might be just as risky as "any medicine." Thus the CDC Vaccine Information Sheet "warning" (that vaccines carry "very small risks") is worthless.

Incidentally, although the courts claim that parents are "warned" about vaccines, the word "warning" does not appear anywhere on the CDC MMR Vaccine Information Sheet; nor, incidentally, does the MMR Vaccine Information Sheet state that some states have "religious" and "philosophical" exemptions.

FDA Commissioner Kessler's 1993 report states, "If an adverse event occurs in perhaps one in 5000 or even one in 1000 users, it could be missed in clinical trials but pose a serious safety problem when released to the market."

It bears repeating that, given that American physicians are refusing to report serious adverse events (Congress had to DEMAND that MDs report!); and given that risk can be calculated only if physicians report serious adverse events, the CDC has no business claiming, as it does in its MMR Vaccine Information Sheet, that, "The risks from the vaccine are **much smaller** [original italics] than the risks from the diseases if people stopped using vaccine."

In fact, we just don't know that vaccine risks are "much smaller" than the risks of natural disease. More importantly, we will never know – as long as

sci.med: Some key vaccination fraud history (and Schroeder's SLAPP)

M.D.s refuse to report as many as 99% of serious adverse events.

Most of the info above was derived from my previous article, "Oregon's FRAUDULENT vaccination game"...

<http://groups.google.com/groups?hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&selm=8rTq5.729%24Nq.156735%40dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>

BACK TO PSEUDONYMOUS USENET PEDIATRICIAN PF RILEY, MD...

PF continued...

- > *when it is in fact extremely COMMON for "MDs" otherwise to*
- > *specifically and clearly state that vaccines are NOT 100% effective.*

I do not dispute that MD literature states that vaccines are not 100% effective.

Rather, I point out the FACT that MDs are powerfully promoting their vaccines as being 100% effective as they lie by omission and deny massive numbers of babies massive numbers of free daily immunizations.

See above.

- > *He LIES by omission when he cites only these exclusion policies and by*
- > *obfuscation when he pretends not to understand their rationale,*
- >

The MD "rationale" is an obvious FRAUD – as in the statement from CDC's maximum vaccination cheerleader Deborah Wexler, MD's website:

"What if you don't [vaccinate] your child?...During disease outbreaks, [unvaccinated] children may be excluded from school or child care until the outbreak is over...for their own protection...This causes hardship for the child and parent."

—Wexler's Immunization Action Coalition/IAC

<http://www.immunize.org/catg.d/p4017.htm>

It is a financial vaccination cattle prod FRAUD to promote vaccinations by SELECTIVELY threatening "hardship" for exempted children and their parents...

If it is safe for children not immunized by their vaccinations to stay at school during disease outbreaks – MDs should either 1) stop threatening exempted children and their parents with the "hardship" – or 2) apply it evenly so that ALL children are protected/sent home.

- > *namely, that since unvaccinated children vs. vaccinated children as a*
- > *group can be up to 35 times MORE LIKELY to contract a*
- > *vaccine-preventable disease (and thus pass it along to other*
- > *susceptible individuals),*

sci.med: Some key vaccination fraud history (and Schroeder's SLAPP)

Selectively threatening exempted children and their parents with "hardship" is vaccination promotion FRAUD that is embellished by other vaccination promotion fraud – i.e. the vaccination consent charade mentioned by Dr. Fox, quoted above.

- > *and, given the choice of the following*
- > *EMPIRIC exclusion policies (recognizing that serologic testing acutely*
- > *to determine immunity in the setting of an outbreak is highly*
- > *impractical and would be untimely): (1) no exclusions at all, (2)*
- > *exclusion of an easily identifiable group of children (the*
- > *unvaccinated) which, on the whole, are more likely to contract the*
- > *disease and thus perpetuate the outbreak, or (3) shut down the whole*
- > *school, Todd believes that (3) is the "correct" choice...*

#3 *is* the correct choice when MDs are saying that unimmunized children are endanger. It is a FRAUD to protect vaccine exempt children and not protect the children not immunized by their vaccinations.

- > *when he has*
- > *failed to produce any evidence that the overall cost vs. benefit of*
- > *(3) is favorable compared to (2), and nonetheless concludes that the*
- > *only reason "MDs" choose (2) is not because this more conservative*
- > *approach has indeed been shown in real outbreaks to be helpful but*
- > *instead because "MDs" are trying to fool people through a "subtle*
- > *though powerful statement" that this choice means they believe*
- > *vaccines are 100% effective even though ANY OTHER TIME the overall*
- > *effectiveness of vaccines is EVER addressed, such "MDs" explicitly*
- > *state that they are not.*

MDs *are* fooling parents! MDs are trying to FORCE parents into VACCINATING using a "hardship" fraud that endangers vaccinated children not immunized by their vaccinations.

- >
- > *When called on this, he simply accuses me of "pretending" the above is*
- > *true when he can't explain why it isn't,*
- >

False. See above. The vaccine exemption "hardship" is just part of organized medicine's informed consent CHARADE...

- > *and falls back on his usual*
- > *complaining about breastfeeding, circumcisions, and obstetrics.*

False. I note that MDs are committing OTHER obvious frauds – felonies actually...

1. Mass (sometimes fatal) immunologic child abuse: lying by omission – failing to state the CRUCIAL fact that breastfeeding is immunization that reportedly makes MD–needle–vaccinations work better;

sci.med: Some key vaccination fraud history (and Schroeder's SLAPP)

2. Mass (sometimes fatal) PHYSICAL child abuse: telling lies as they slice infant penises and mothers vaginas and abdomens en masse...

See again: Pediatrician 'ethics' (Attn: Gesundheit et al.)
<http://health.groups.yahoo.com/group/chiro-list/message/2908>

> *He*
> *believes that parents only see the exclusion policy, somehow missing*
> *everything else written about the effectiveness of vaccine, and are*
> *fooled.*

Yep. Right here in Oregon, parents are not explicitly informed that if they don't want their kids vaccinated all they have to do is sign their names.

These parents – who don't want their children vaccinated – are threatened with "hardship" (see Wexler, above)...

That is, MDs are SELECTIVELY threatening the "hardship" – FRAUDULENTLY promoting vaccination – and endangering some vaccinated children in the process.

> *(How stupid does he think parents are?)*
>

When parents are INFORMED instead of being offered the MD consent CHARADE (see Dr. Fox quoted above) – they are NOT stupid – not stupid at all!

> *He continues to cite*
> *sentences which themselves imply quite plainly that vaccines are NOT*
> *100% effective yet, through intentional, deceptive, and careful use of*
> *ellipses, tries to make them appear otherwise.*
>
> *Gastaldo is a FRAUD and a LIAR.*
>

Pseudonymous usenet pediatrician PF Riley belongs to a profession committing OBVIOUS mass felonies against mothers and babies...

It is natural that a promoter of the mass criminal enterprise called medicine would shout "FRAUD" "LIAR" when the obvious MD crimes are exposed.

As usual, I am in favor of pardons in advance for MDs...

I am in favor of a pardon in advance even for the pseudonymous crime promoter PF Riley, MD...

MDs are just academic prime cuts forced through this culture's most powerful mental meatgrinder – medical school.

As naive med students, MDs are TRAINED to perform felonies.

sci.med: Some key vaccination fraud history (and Schroeder's SLAPP)

I know, I know – MDs can legally perform felonies – but that does not mean that their felonies should not be identified as such.

Thanks for reading,

Sincerely,

Todd

Dr. Gastaldo
todd@chiroemotion.com

PS As noted above, Margaret L. Russell MD Ph.D. FRCPC et al.^{^^} write that "Concerns have been raised about the beliefs and behaviours of chiropractors related to [vaccination]..."
Vaccine. 2004 Dec 2;23(3):372–9. PubMed abstract

^{^^}Russell ML, Injeyan HS, Verhoef MJ, Eliasziw M.

I am raising concerns about the beliefs and behaviors of ****MDs**** related to vaccination and other obvious MD frauds...

I will cc Margaret and some of her colleagues. Hopefully, they will do something about the obvious MD vaccination fraud – and the other obvious MD frauds.

At the very least, I hope Margaret and colleagues will tell pregnant women in their family circles that OBs are closing birth canals up to 30% and that it is easy for women to allow their birth canals to OPEN the "extra" up to 30%.

I will also cc the usual law enforcement suspects – Oregon Attorney General Hardy Myers and Disneyland DA Tony Rackauckas.

Again – I know, I know – MDs can legally commit felonies – but I think law enforcement should help identify the felonies as felonies.

Finally, I will cc Bob Dubin, DC...

CENSOR BOB DUBIN, DC...

Bob is the Chiro Censor, who suddenly welcomed me back to the list he censors after I cc'd him:

Vaccines: Mom to Dan Rather/60 Minutes
<http://health.groups.yahoo.com/group/chiro-list/message/2940>

Bob, you don't need to subscribe me. I will cc you my posts and you can censor them – or post them – as you like.

Whatever your reason for censorship Bob – it is still censorship.

Some key vaccination fraud history (and Schroeder's SLAPP)

sci.med: Some key vaccination fraud history (and Schroeder's SLAPP)

Bob, you apparently read:

PTs: a legal OB felony (also: Bob Dubin and SLAPP/Rule 302)
<http://health.groups.yahoo.com/group/chiro-list/message/2936>

You wrote:

[Dubin] If Todd is watching –

Yes, Bob, I am watching – but only because after you censored me a member of the list you censor started forwarding posts from your censored list so that I could see what you were saying behind my back.

[Dubin] ...he was sued and LOST for defaming Mike Schroeder, and...

I was sued and LOST ON JURISDICTION. The merits of the case were never argued. I tried to get the case moved to Oregon where I could afford to fight it. I did NOT defame Mike Schroeder. I petitioned for a redress of grievances caused by PUBLIC OFFICIAL Mike Schroeder and was SLAPPED by a Strategic Lawsuit to Prevent Public Participation. I learned only too late – \$25,000+ dollars too late – that SLAPP suits are generally easily defeated...

[Dubin] ...the list administrators could have easily been included in that lawsuit.

Yes, they were sort of SLAPPED too – and you are helping to continue the SLAPPING.

[Dubin] It is painfully obvious to all but a handful of people that DCs NEVER had the right to deliver babies in California, as proven by the voter pamphlet that preceded the initiative act that licensed DCs there.

False statement. Before the initiative act and the voter pamphlet, DCs *were* licensed to deliver babies – under the Medical Practice Act. Bob pretends I wish for California DCs to again attending homebirths – I do not – there are plenty of direct entry homebirth midwives for that...

[Dubin] I refused to allow Todd back on the chirolist, as I have no wish to be named in lawsuits due to the blathering of others.

Ah, more SLAPPING from Bob...

[Dubin] I also, believe it or not, agree with much of what he says, especially as regards the delivery of infants.

Then please, Bob, ask "Chiropractic" Attorney Schroeder to use his legal skills to help stop the obvious OB felonies... See SURELY below...

...My priority would be stopping OBs from closing birth canals up to 30% and keeping birth canals

Some key vaccination fraud history (and Schroeder's SLAPP)

sci.med: Some key vaccination fraud history (and Schroeder's SLAPP)

closed when they use forceps and vacuums to pull on tiny spines...

END excerpt of Scratch a liar (Dubin)...

<http://health.groups.yahoo.com/group/chiro-list/message/2937>

Bob Dubin, DC is a LYING censor – and he "forgot" to mention his obvious lie when he so graciously invited me back...

His second statement is the obvious lie...

"I have unsubscribed Todd Gastaldo from the Chirolist..."

--Bob Dubin, DC 2003

"I did not unsubscribe Gastaldo..."

--Bob Dubin, DC 2004

Censor Bob, you violated your own no-defamation rule then "graciously" ("generously") invited me back by suggesting that *I* violated your no-defamation rule! LOL!

Your "gracious" "generous" invite gently perpetuated Schroeder's SLAPP/censorship attempt.

I will send my posts to you for censorship – or posting – whichever you prefer.

You are a censor – and chiro-list is censored. You need to openly admit that.

The COURTS (and juries) are the arbiters of who defamed – at least I think that principle is making its way through the courts in the Barrett v. Rosenthal case...

I discovered \$25,000+ too late that Schroeder had only SLAPPED me to censor me for petitioning for redress of grievances he caused while functioning as a PUBLIC OFFICIAL

Schroeder is now reportedly DEPUTY SHERIFF Schroeder – and he is ignoring a massive spinal manipulation felony – as you say you agree with "much" of what I say!

Why not telephone your deputy sheriff buddy...

Why not tell "chiropractic" attorney Schroeder – DEPUTY SHERIFF Schroeder – about the massive spinal manipulation felony being committed by MDs?

And while you have him on the phone – ask him if he got the \$25,000 I sent him and ask him how much more I owe him in court costs and interest for his SLAPP – OK?

Thanks Censor Bob.

Some key vaccination fraud history (and Schroeder's SLAPP)

sci.med: Some key vaccination fraud history (and Schroeder's SLAPP)

Oh – and if this post is over your 30K limit – just divide it up and post it in parts – assuming you don't want to censor it. (I ALWAYS respected the size limit back when chiro–list was "unmoderated means uncensored – anything goes." EVERYONE respected the limit – they HAD too.)

Again, I know – I know – MDs can legally commit felonies. It helps to admit that.

But it's mandatory for DCs (California DCs at least) to report felonies committed against children if they so much as SUSPECT them.

California Penal Law is pretty clear. I quoted a 1986 version of the law in the post excerpted above:

Scratch a liar (Dubin)...Also: pregnant in California?

<http://health.groups.yahoo.com/group/chiro-list/message/2937>

I also quoted the law in a 1997 post: See A chiropractic 'laughing stock'?

<http://groups.google.com/groups?selm=68c0bh%244mv%241%40gte2.gte.net&output=gpla\in>

Deputy Sheriff Schroeder – a "chiropractic" attorney – will no doubt want to start exposing the obvious mass spinal manipulation felony of the MD–obstetricians who he helped judicially rubberstamp his Rule 302...

SCHROEDER AND UMBILICAL CORDS...

Deputy Sheriff Schroeder's Rule 302 explicitly prohibited DCs – who used to be licensed in California (under the Medical Practice Act) to attend homebirths – from cutting umbilical cords.

Years before I was SLAPPED by Michael Schroeder, former attorney for the California Board of Chiropractic Examiners, a Michael Schroeder calling himself attorney for the California Board of Chiropractic Examiners told me it was outside my scope of practice to tell pregnant women that OBs are closing birth canals up to 30%.

My suspicion, Censor Bob, is that with his Rule 302 explicit umbilical cord cutting prohibition PUBLIC OFFICIAL Schroeder was making it outside the scope of chiropractic practice for DCs to criticize MD–obstetricians for temporary asphyxiation of babies to rob blood from them.

Since mass temporary asphyxiation of babies is also IMMUNOLOGIC child abuse – I may as well offer the gory details here...

As I noted for Dan Rather/CBS 60 Minutes...

One last OB surgical felony – it is a doozy... American MDs are abusing their MOST frequent surgery – temporarily asphyxiating babies by IMMEDIATELY clamping/cutting umbilical cords to rob massive amounts of blood from babies. (My thanks to Donna Young for calling my attention to this latter OB felony – and to Kelly Moscarello for calling my attention back to it when

sci.med: Some key vaccination fraud history (and Schroeder's SLAPP)

her little Bella suffered cerebral palsy after an unthinking paramedic aped his MD handlers and immediately clamped the cord of a baby who was not yet breathing.)

Here is ACOG Practice Bulletin B138 (re-affirmed Feb 2002):

"...Immediately after delivery of the neonate, a segment of umbilical cord should be doubly clamped, divided, and placed on the delivery table pending assessment of the five minute Apgar score."

—1991 (re-affirmed Feb 2002) ACOG Committee opinion: Bulletin 138 – April 1994 (replaces #91, February 1991)

>From quotes compiled mainly by Eileen Simon from the Harvard Medical School Library <http://www.cordclamping.com/History.htm>

Here is commentary by George Malcolm Morley, MB ChB FACOG:

"ACOG's routine treatment (B138) of these depressed neonates is immediate cord clamping to obtain cord blood pH studies. The child's only functioning source of oxygen – the placenta – is amputated together with 30% to 50+% of its natural blood volume. Total asphyxia is imposed until the lungs function, and the depressed (asphyxiated, hypovolemic) child starts its extra-uterine life in hypovolemic shock...

"B138 was first published in 1993. Every cesarean section baby, every depressed child, every premie, and every child born with a neonatal team in the delivery room has its cord clamped immediately to facilitate the panicked rush to the resuscitation table. The current epidemic of immediate cord clamping coincides with an epidemic of autism.

"For the trial lawyers, it is essential that the 'true genesis' of cerebral palsy remains unknown, because that 'true genesis' (B.138) is a standard of medico-legal care..."

<http://www.cordclamping.com/acog-cp.htm>

George Morley, the retired OB quoted above – as valiant as he is – does not want to see child protection laws used to stop the mass baby asphyxiation he is exposing.

George and I disagree on this point...

Why would we NOT use laws designed to protect children – to protect children?

See Babies gasping: Michigan sheriffs to do "child abuse raid" on hospitals?

<http://health.groups.yahoo.com/group/chiro-list/message/2618>

Again Censor Bob, when you talk to deputy sheriff/"chiropractic" attorney Schroeder – would you ask him how much more I owe him in court costs and interest for his SLAPP win?

THINK ABOUT IT Chiro-list censorship BEGAN with Schroeder's SLAPP suit.

Some key vaccination fraud history (and Schroeder's SLAPP)

sci.med: Some key vaccination fraud history (and Schroeder's SLAPP)

As a PUBLIC OFFICIAL, I don't think "chiropractic" attorney Schroeder was doing chiropractic any favors.

Via ongoing silence regarding the massive MD–obstetrician felonies – Schroder isn't doing babies any favors.

He is though doing MD–obstetricians a HUGE favor.

Maybe Schroeder's silence about massive MD crimes is part of the reason he became California Republican Party Chairman?

Again, I'm cc'ing Dan Rather/CBS 60 Minutes (60II@cbsnews.com)

Who knows – maybe 60 Minutes will do a story about the massive MD felonies...

Thanks for reading everyone.

Sincerely,

Your friend,

Todd

Dr. Gastaldo
todd@chiromotion.com

This post will be archived for global access within 24 hours in the Google usenet archive. Search <http://groups.google.com> for "Some key vaccination fraud history (and Schroeder's SLAPP)"