

Re: Side effects: drugs called statins

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med/2004-12/1402.html>

From: Happy Dog (*happydog_at_sympatico.ca*)

Date: 12/08/04

Date: Wed, 8 Dec 2004 02:29:21 -0500

<awthrawthr@yahoo.com> wrote in message

>>> *You're right, I won't post what you THINK is 'real' evidence. I'm
> not limited by your narrow window of acceptance. There is more in this
>>> world than all your philosophies including your blind faith in the
>>> lyin-tific method,*
>
>>*Soooooo, I should accept your adorable anecdotes as evidence. As for
>> "faith", I have little. And, on that, anyone making this claim is an
>> expert. So, again, you don't know shit.*
>
> *Who cares what you do? I don't.*

Then why respond? You enjoy the abuse? When asked for some real evidence you asked "how much bandwidth do you have?" Now you suddenly don't care?

>>> *I have cure myself many times of many different conditions. I have
>>> helped others do the same.*
>
>>*Liar, idiot. Pick one or both.*
>
> *Yeah, like you know me. You know my medical history. You know what I've
> done for other people who were sick.*

I *know* that your claims are so improbable that real evidence is required before any reasonable person believes that you can cure Stage IV cancer and impotence.

>
>>> *So I laugh when I see people like yourself who are still stuck in
> their belief systems.*
>
>>*The alternative is to believe your word. On Usenet. Right?*
>
> *You can depend on FDA-approved drugs all you want.*

Sounds like Pascal's Wager. Here's the problem:

You can't establish that your methods are any safer than FDA approved ones

because you haven't demonstrated that they work. So your challenge is bullshit. You're as boring as the religious nutcases who fail at grade school level logic.

>>> *It is the hallmark of the mediocre scientist to ignore a good anecdote.*
>
>> *Gee, can I quote you?*
>
> *If you're going to quote me, be sure to include what I said. I told you that lesions disappearing is not an anecdote, bones growing back isn't an anecdote.*

You're an imbecile who can't even follow his own reasoning. First, you claim that good scientists don't ignore good anecdotes. OK. But then you claim that your bullshit story isn't an anecdote. Your crap isn't even interesting. Put up some real evidence or STFU.

>> *The history of science is littered with the memories of those who couldn't get over the fact that their beliefs were contradicted by observation.*
>
> *The cemeteries are littered with millions of dead patients who were killed by your so-called science. They were killed by FDA-approved drugs. Not by their disease -- by their pills.*
>
> *In fact, I am arguing that it is you who is ignoring proper observation.*

Nobody claims that modern medicine isn't replete with flaws. But how many of those deaths would not have occurred if there was no medical intervention?

>
> *Try this. Have a bottle of elderberry capsules handy. The next time you start coming down with the flu, immediately take two capsules and observe what happens. The elderberry won't hurt you, so there is little risk in this exercise.*

The risk is wasting time. Flu doesn't resolve in twenty minutes. Pigs can't fly.

>> *Rabies too, no doubt. But rabies is just another form of flu, no?*
>
> *I've never treated rabies with elderberry, so I can't tell you what would happen. But rabies is a combination of infection and trauma, so it would probably take more to handle it. The wound would need to be cleaned thoroughly. The person would probably need to rest.*

Rabies is almost 100% fatal. Your recommendations would result in someone dying.

> *I have an update. Yesterday, my boss woke up with a sore throat and
> swollen glands in her neck. She took one or two elderberry capsules,
> and within two hours she felt fine and her throat was no longer
> swollen.*

Swollen throats can be caused by a wide variety of things and often resolve on their own.

>>*Spamming idiot. Tell me, does the virus clear from the body in that
> time?*
>
> *Who cares where the virus goes in the first 20 minutes as long as it
> is dead?*

a(You don't know it was flu.

b) You have no evidence that the virus is dead. (Dead? Lordy.)
>
>>*Can you do this with AIDS too?*
>
> *AIDS is a disease with four components. The first component is the
> viral stage.*

Wrong.

> *The second is bacterial infection. The third is systemic
> metabolic disorder with secondary bacterial infection. The fourth might
> best be described as system shut down.*

Idiot. Rest of incoherent AIDS nonsense snipped.

Spamming scumbag.

Le Moo