

sci.med: Chiropractic 'negatively influenced science'? Are ACC/chiro colleges helping babies avoid subluxations?

# Chiropractic 'negatively influenced science'? Are ACC/chiro colleges helping babies avoid subluxations?

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med/2004-12/2599.html>

---

*From:* Todd Gastaldo ([tgastaldo\\_at\\_earthlink.net](mailto:tgastaldo_at_earthlink.net))

*Date:* 12/29/04

Date: Wed, 29 Dec 2004 18:58:32 GMT

Pregnant women, OBs are closing birth canals up to 30% and keeping birth canals closed when babies get stuck.

It is easy to allow your birth canal to OPEN the "extra" up to 30%.

See PREGNANT WOMEN near the very end of this post.

CHIROPRACTIC "NEGATIVELY INFLUENCED SCIENCE"?

The Ontario Chiropractic Association says:

"In 1921, the Ontario Medical Association claimed that chiropractic negatively influenced science, and should not be given consideration under law... [Sometime after 1970, Ontario] chiropractors were finally given the right to use the title, 'Doctor' and were given full rights to diagnose..."

<http://www.chiropractic.on.ca/evolve.htm>

Ontario chiropractors are missing a KEY diagnosis.

Ontario DCs are no longer paid for their GENTLE spinal manipulation...

<http://www.chiroweb.com/archives/23/02/09.html>

Meanwhile Ontario MDs still get paid like clockwork for their GRUESOME spinal manipulation – pulling on tiny spines with birth canals senselessly closed up to 30%; see below...

Ontario MDs sometimes pull so hard they rip spinal nerves out of tiny spinal cords.

ACC/CHIRO COLLEGES HELPING BABIES AVOID SUBLUXATIONS?

ACC Pres. Jean Moss, DC Please see below.

Chiropractic 'negatively influenced science'? Are ACC/chiro colleges helping babies avoid subluxations?

sci.med: Chiropractic 'negatively influenced science'? Are ACC/chiro colleges helping babies avoid subluxations?

OPEN LETTER (archived for global access; see below.)

Dr. Ian Eix  
Society President^^^  
Toronto Society of the Ontario Chiropractic Association/OCA  
(^^^Ian underscores that he is an OCA \*Society\* president – not president of  
OCA, see below.)  
dricedc@sympatico.ca

Hi Ian,

Interspersions below...

----- Original Message -----

From: "Ian Eix" <dricedc@sympatico.ca>  
To: "'Todd Gastaldo'" <tgastaldo@earthlink.net>  
Cc: <todd@chiomotion.com>; "Jean Moss" <president@cmcc.ca>; "John Mrozek"  
<jmrozek@cmcc.ca>; "Janet Blanchard-Conn"  
<Jblanchard\_conn@chiropractic.on.ca>  
Sent: Monday, December 20, 2004 1:09 PM  
Subject: RE: CMCC/Dr. Ian Eix helping babies avoid subluxations?

>  
>  
> *Dr. Gastaldo;*  
>  
> *Thank-you for your prompt reply.*

You are welcome, Ian.

> *Your reply leads me to think that your*  
> *are angry or frustrated by my desire to be removed from the batch email*  
> *you send out*

I think chiropractors – including and especially chiropractic society  
president's should be taking action, that's all.

> *however please understand my desire to be removed from your*  
> *email list has nothing to do with content, as the tone and accusations*  
> *of inaction in your email implies,*

I am pleased with your having copied your reply to CMCC and OCA.

> *rather my ISP doesn't have an*  
> *unlimited amount of space so I am trying to remove many of the batch*  
> *email messages that I get from this service provider so that other*  
> *enquiries do not get bumped.*  
>

I apologize if you did not receive email because of me.

Chiropractic 'negatively influenced science'? Are ACC/chiro colleges helping babies avoid subluxati@ns?

sci.med: Chiropractic 'negatively influenced science'? Are ACC/chiro colleges helping babies avoid subluxations?

If you did not see my previous email, obviously any assumptions based on your having seen it were false and I apologize.

> *To reply to some of your questions, however, my role as a board member  
> at CMCC means that I am not involved in the delivery of course content  
> and I would suggest you contact Dr. John Mrozek who is the Dean of  
> Undergraduate studies who would be the best person to answer your  
> questions regarding the OB/GYN course.*

I waited a week.

No response from Dr. John Mrozek yet.

I would love to hear from him. I will cc this to him.

I would \*love\* it if all OB/GYN instructors at chiro colleges would acknowledge that they are teaching that OBs are closing birth canals up to 30% and keeping them closed as they manipulate babies' spines.

John (and Jean Moss^^^), IS this info being taught at CMCC?

Or are you guys teaching it only indirectly – i.e.– "squatting opens"?

With babies' brains and brachial nerves on the line, I think it should be stated clearly that semisitting and dorsal CLOSE up to 30% and OBs are KEEPING birth canals closed when they pull – routinely.

Note also: OBs are also routinely slicing vaginas and abdomens – surgically/fraudulently inferring they are doing/have done everything possible to open birth canals – even as they close birth canals the "extra" up to 30%.

Obvious assaults and batteries are routine in obstetrics.

^^^Dr. Jean Moss is president of CMCC and also president of the Association of Chiropractic Colleges/ACC.

<http://www.chirocolleges.org/letttert.html>

Jean, I'm \*pretty\* sure I emailed regarding ACC getting Dr. DD Palmer's Innate principle exactly backwards...

Just in case I didn't, for a post on the subject...

See Innate = Spirit (also: Dog subluxations – and Dr. DD Palmer: 'My own son put me in prison'...)

<http://groups-beta.google.com/group/misc.health.alternative/msg/8ca5d33fdd661ec6>

Jean, I hope you will do what you can to see to it that all ACC-affiliated schools – if they are not already doing so – start teaching this information in OB/GYN classes immediately.

Chiropractic 'negatively influenced science'? Are ACC/chiro colleges helping babies avoid subluxations?

sci.med: Chiropractic 'negatively influenced science'? Are ACC/chiro colleges helping babies avoid subluxations?

Actually Jean, it would not hurt to alert entire student bodies at each chiro college as some chiro students are no doubt having babies and may not know how easy it is to allow the birth canal to open the "extra" up to 30%.

In 1921, the Ontario Medical Association claimed that chiropractic negatively influenced science, and should not be given consideration under law... [Sometime after 1970, Ontario] chiropractors were finally given the right to use the title, 'Doctor' and were given full rights to diagnose..."  
<http://www.chiropractic.on.ca/evolve.htm>

- > *I am, as you indicated, a*
- > *society president (however the email could be interpreted that I*
- > *represent the OCA at a larger level), that is a regional president*
- > *within the larger association of the Ontario Chiropractic Association.*

Ian, I placed the title "Society President" right under your name. I wish now that I had done something more as I did above.

- > *The issues you raise have not been brought forward as concerns by the*
- > *Toronto Society members.*

I know some of them have been receiving my emails. But maybe they have not been reading them. If they have, I think it quite odd that no Toronto Society members have brought forward any concern that OBs are closing birth canals up to 30%, etc. – but you already know that.

I was thinking that you, as Society President, could say to the members over whom you preside: "Todd says that OBs are closing birth canals up to 30% and keeping birth canals closed when babies get stuck – and pulling with hands, forceps and vacuums – sometimes pulling so hard that spinal nerves are ripped out of tiny spinal cords."

I was thinking that you, as Society President, could say, "Todd says the biomechanics are as simple as the OB lies are obvious – and I agree." (Or – if you disagree – write to me and say WHY.)

I was thinking that you, as Society President, could say, "Todd thinks chiropractic organizations that purport to TREAT vertebral subluxations caused by birth trauma ought to be educating OBs to PREVENT vertebral subluxations – or at least birth trauma."

- > *I do not speak for the Ontario association and*
- > *would suggest you contact them with respect to their actions on the*
- > *matters you raise.*
- >

Nor, I suppose, do you speak for the members of Society over which you preside (without their permission) – or do you? I guess electing you as President does that – but maybe you need an Executive Board decision?

In any event, I was thinking you could speak WITH them.

Chiropractic 'negatively influenced science'? Are ACC/chiro colleges helping babies avoid subluxations?

sci.med: Chiropractic 'negatively influenced science'? Are ACC/chiro colleges helping babies avoid subluxations?

Of course, I was thinking ALL OCA societies could be mobilized to stop the most prolific spinal manipulators from closing birth canals the "extra" up to 30%.

> *Thank—you for providing Chiropractors with the information you do,*  
>

You are welcome, Ian. Again, if you disagree, please tell me why.

> *I*  
> *will contact you with an alternative email address when I have one*  
> *available with more storage capacity.*  
>  
> *Dr. Eix*  
>

Well, I will be glad to put you back on my list when you have a suitable email address.

> *PS I have copied your letter and my reply to the Canadian Memorial*  
> *Chiropractic College and the Ontario Association.*  
>

Thanks.

> *PPS Could you tell me how I came to be added to you email list in the*  
> *first place (as you say it has been almost a year)*

I deluded myself into thinking that ALL chiros would want to be on the list of the only DC working to save tiny lives and tiny limbs and PREVENT more putative vertebral subluxations than DCs will ever be able to charge to adjust by hand.

I was particularly interested in OCA members because (again):

> *The Ontario Chiropractic Association (OCA General Counsel is DAVID*  
> *CHAPMAN–SMITH, LLB, FICC) still indicates that:*  
>  
> *1. Ontario DCs treat vertebral subluxations which "can initially occur*  
> *during the birth process." <http://www.chiropractic.on.ca/sublux.htm>*  
>  
> *yet I have no*  
> *recollection as to being contacted as to my wish to be included or*  
> *removed from such a list.*  
>

Ian, you are on a list of DCs who indicate they treat vertebral subluxations caused by birth trauma.

Chiropractic 'negatively influenced science'? Are ACC/chiro colleges helping babies avoid subluxations?

sci.med: Chiropractic 'negatively influenced science'? Are ACC/chiro colleges helping babies avoid subluxations?

I have furnished you with information about a SIMPLE way to PREVENT vertebral subluxations caused by birth trauma – information which you apparently do not dispute ("Thank–you for providing Chiropractors with the information you do")...

Yet you are waving me off as if this information is of no consequence.

Highly unethical in my view – the OB spinal manipulation crime is obvious – and massive.

> *Thanks again*

>

> *Ian*

You are welcome Ian.

Todd

>

> -----Original Message-----

> *From: Todd Gastaldo [mailto:tgastaldo@earthlink.net]*

> *Sent: December 20, 2004 2:24 PM*

> *To: Ian Eix; chiro–list@yahogroups.com*

> *Subject: CMCC/Dr. Ian Eix helping babies avoid subluxations?*

>

>

> *Dr. Ian Eix, please see the very end of this post.*

>

>

>

> *PREGNANT WOMEN: OBs are closing birth canals up to 30% and keeping  
> birth canals closed when babies get stuck...*

>

> *It's easy to allow your birth canal to OPEN the "extra" up to 30%.*

>

> *See PREGNANT WOMEN, below.*

>

>

> *CMCC/DR. IAN EIX HELPING BABIES AVOID SUBLUXATIONS?*

>

> *OPEN LETTER (archived for global access; see below)*

>

> *Dr. Ian Eix*

>

> *Society President*

> *Toronto Chiropractic Society (June 1, 2004)*

> *Ontario Chiropractic Association*

> <http://www.chiropractic.on.ca/societies.htm>

> *8 Paula Blvd.*

> *Etobicoke ON M8W 4B6*

> *(416) 577–4349*

Chiropractic 'negatively influenced science'? Are ACC/chiro colleges helping babies avoid subluxations?

sci.med: Chiropractic 'negatively influenced science'? Are ACC/chiro colleges helping babies avoid subluxations?

- > (416) 745-2168
- > dricedc@sympatico.ca
- >
- > Ian,
- >
- > *The Ontario Chiropractic Association (OCA General Counsel is DAVID*
- > *CHAPMAN-SMITH, LLB, FICC) still indicates that:*
- >
- > *1. Ontario DCs treat vertebral subluxations which "can initially occur*
- > *during the birth process." <http://www.chiropractic.on.ca/sublux.htm>*
- >
- > *2. Ontario DCs find that "many women find that labour is shorter and*
- > *more*
- > *comfortable after having received chiropractic care during pregnancy..."*
- > *<http://www.chiropractic.on.ca/whnisee8.htm>*
- >
- > *It's been about a year now Ian...*
- > *[http://groups-beta.google.com/group/misc.health.alternative/msg/c115c4f4](http://groups-beta.google.com/group/misc.health.alternative/msg/c115c4f4e900c06f)*
- > *e900c06f*
- >
- > *You've been silent and now you've asked to be removed from my list.*
- >
- > *I'd like to know...*
- >
- > *What (if anything) have you done to encourage Ontario DCs to inform*
- > *their*
- > *pregnant patients that obstetricians/OBs are routinely closing birth*
- > *canals*
- > *up to 30% and routinely KEEPING birth canals closed when babies get*
- > *stuck?*
- >
- > *What (if anything) have to done to encourage Ontario DCs to inform their*
- >
- > *pregnant patients that it is easy for them to allow their birth canals*
- > *to*
- > *OPEN the "extra" up to 30%?*
- >
- > *Has the Ontario Chiropractic Association taken any official action*
- > *whatsoever?*
- >
- > *Todd*
- >
- > *Dr. Gastaldo*
- > *todd@chiomotion.com*
- >
- > *PS Ian, I see where you are a member of the Board of Govenors of*
- > *Canadian*
- > *Memorial Chiropractic College/CMCC. <http://www.chiropracticservices.ca/>*
- > *(Click on "Dr. Eix")*
- >
- > *Does the obstetrics course at Canadian Memorial Chiropractic*

Chiropractic 'negatively influenced science'? Are ACC/chiro colleges helping babies avoid subluxations?

sci.med: Chiropractic 'negatively influenced science'? Are ACC/chiro colleges helping babies avoid subluxations?

- > College/CMCC
- > teach chiropractic students that obstetricians/OBs are routinely closing
- >
- > birth canals up to 30% and routinely keeping birth canals closed when
- > babies
- > get stuck?
- >
- > Do CMCC chiropractic students learn that obstetricians/OBs are lying to
- > cover-up?
- >
- > THE FOUR OB LIES...
- >
- > OB LIE #1. After MASSIVE change in the AP pelvic outlet diameter was
- > clinically
- > demonstrated in 1911 and radiographically demonstrated in 1957, the
- > authors
- > of
- > Williams Obstetrics began erroneously claiming that pelvic diamaters
- > DON'T CHANGE at delivery.
- >
- > OB LIE #2. After Ohlsen pointed out in 1973 that pelvic diameters DO
- > change – the
- > authors of Williams Obstetrics began erroneously claiming that their
- > most frequent delivery position – dorsal – widens the outlet.
- >
- > OB LIE #3. After I pointed out in 1992 that dorsal CLOSES – and so does
- > semisitting –
- > the authors of Williams Obstetrics – put the correct biomechanics in
- > their
- > 1993
- > edition – but kept in their text (in the same paragraph!) – the dorsal
- > widens
- > bald lie that first called my attention to their text...
- >
- > OB LIE #4. OBs are actually KEEPING birth canals closed when babies get
- > stuck – and claiming they are doing everything to allow the birth canal
- > open
- > maximally. (ACOG Shoulder Dystocia video.)
- >
- > See Make birth better: Dan Rather, before you leave CBS...
- > <http://health.groups.yahoo.com/group/chiro-list/message/2983>
- >
- > IAN, DON'T FORGET: MD-obstetricians are the most prolific spinal
- > manipulators – and they are GRUESOME spinal manipulators...
- >
- > OBs are routinely closing birth canals up to 30% and routinely KEEPING
- > birth canals closed when babies get stuck.
- >
- > OBs are pulling on baby heads with hands, vacuums and forceps – with
- > birth canals senselessly closed up to 30%.
- >

Chiropractic 'negatively influenced science'? Are ACC/chiro colleges helping babies avoid subluxations?

sci.med: Chiropractic 'negatively influenced science'? Are ACC/chiro colleges helping babies avoid subluxations?

- > *OBs sometimes pull so hard that spinal nerves are ripped out of tiny*
- > *spinal cords.*
- >
- > *Some babies die – some babies get paralyzed – most "only" have their*
- > *spines gruesomely wrenched.*
- >
- > *ALL spinal manipulation is gruesome with the birth canal closed the*
- > *"extra" up to 30%.*
- >
- > *OBs are lying to cover–up. See the Four OB Lies above.*
- >
- >
- > *AND THEN THERE ARE THE UNNECESSARY OB SURGERIES...*
- >
- > *OBs are slicing vaginas/abdomens en masse (episiotomy/c–section) –*
- > *surgically/fraudulently inferring they are doing/have done everything*
- > *possible to open birth canals – even as they close birth canals up to*
- > *30%.*
- >
- > *American medicine's most frequent surgical behaviors are OBVIOUS*
- > *FELONIES.*
- >
- > *Even something as simple as cutting the umbilical cord is an obvious*
- > *felony*
- > *they way OBs do it.*
- >
- > *See Bravo Jan! Do it for the babies! (Midwifery Today editor Jan*
- > *Tritten) <http://health.groups.yahoo.com/group/chiro–list/message/3067>*
- >

PREGNANT WOMEN: It is easy to offer your baby the "extra" up to 30% of outlet area by simply rolling onto your side as you push your baby out.

JUST BEWARE: Some OBs and CNMwives let you "try" alternative delivery positions – but move you back to semisitting or dorsal (close your birth canal) for the actual delivery.

ALSO BEWARE: It is STANDARD PRACTICE for OBs to keep birth canals closed when babies get stuck – i.e. – OBs are pulling with forceps and vacuums – with birth canals senselessly closed...

LADIES: Talk to your OB or CNMwife about this today.

WHITE ELEPHANT FACT: Pregnant women should not have to ASK obstetricians for the "extra" up to 30%.

In this regard, I recently suggested that high school girls everywhere could start writing to attorney generals and district attorneys to demand that they compel OBs to automatically give babies the "extra" up to 30%.

Chiropractic 'negatively influenced science'? Are ACC/chiro colleges helping babies avoid subluxations?

sci.med: Chiropractic 'negatively influenced science'? Are ACC/chiro colleges helping babies avoid subluxations?

See Two robberies: educators can stop them

<http://health.groups.yahoo.com/group/chiro-list/message/3047>

- > *In this regard, I recently suggested that high school girls everywhere*
- > *could*
- > *start writing to*
- > *attorney generals and district attorneys to demand that they compel OBs*
- > *to automatically give babies the "extra" up to 30%.*
- >
- > *See Two robberies: educators can stop them*
- > <http://health.groups.yahoo.com/group/chiro-list/message/3047>
- >
- > **WHAT ABOUT CHIROPRACTORS?**
- >
- > *Ian Eix, DC, Ontario Chiropractic Association society president:*
- >
- > *Since Ontario DCs treat vertebral subluxations which "can initially*
- > *occur*
- > *during the birth process." <http://www.chiropractic.on.ca/sublux.htm>*
- >
- > *It seems rather unethical for Ontario DCs to remain silent about a*
- > *simple*
- > *way to PREVENT vertebral subluxations during the birth process.*
- >
- > *How very bizarre that NONE of the world's chiropractic professional*
- > *organizations have taken any action to save tiny lives and tiny limbs*
- > *and*
- > *PREVENT more putative vertebral subluxations than DCs will ever be able*
- > *to*
- > *charge to adjust by hand.*
- >
- > *Ian, as you requested, you are off my list.*
- >
- > *This Open Letter will be archived for global access in the Google usenet*
- >
- > *archive. Search <http://groups.google.com> for "Is Dr. Ian Eix helping*
- > *babies*
- > *avoid subluxations?"*
- >
- >
- >
- >
- >
- >
- >
- >

Chiropractic 'negatively influenced science'? Are ACC/chiro colleges helping babies avoid subluxations?