

## Re: FOLLOW THE MONEY – Industry shill Elizabeth Whelan claims mercury fears unfounded

*Source:* <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.med/2004–12/2611.html>

---

*From:* Jeff (*kidsdoc2000\_at\_hotmail.com*)

*Date:* 12/30/04

Date: Wed, 29 Dec 2004 21:08:25 -0800

"Ilena Rose" <ilena@san.rr.com> wrote in message  
news:6c86t05j6rqqpolfpb5pm44vmgfhocr134@4ax.com...  
> On Wed, 29 Dec 2004 12:34:40 -0800, "Jeff" <kidsdoc2000@hotmail.com>  
> wrote:  
>  
>> *Yet, the fact remains that mercury fears ARE unfounded. If I am incorrect,*  
>> *please provide peer–reviewed evidence to the contrary.*  
>>  
>> *Jeff*  
>>  
>  
>  
> *Peer reviewers like Quack Barrett is for ACSH????*

No, peer–reveiwers who are experts in their fields. They examine the papers before they are published and determine if the conclusions are supported by results and if the papers are of high quality.

I don't mean to imply that Dr. Barret is or not qualified to be a peer–reviewer. I don't know.

Personal attack deleted.

Jeff