

Are all Science Bigots Absurdists?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med/2005-01/0890.html>

From: Mr-Natural-Health (johngohde_at_naturalhealthperspective.com)

Date: 01/13/05

Date: 12 Jan 2005 21:31:24 -0800

I recently came across the full text of an editorial which all the science want-a-bees must be using for their operation manual? I have seen the same clearly bigoted comments made all over the Internet, that are almost word for word the same. Do all you bigots read the NEJM?

So, I have directly quoted a gaggle of these absurdities and attached my response to them below.

Angell M, Kassirer JP. Alternative medicine—the risks of untested and unregulated remedies. [Editorial] *N Engl J Med.*1998; 339:839 –41.

"What most sets alternative medicine apart, in our view, is that it has not been scientifically tested and its advocates largely deny the need for such testing." [Nobody in alternative is denying the need for testing. What we are objecting too is the constant harassment by bigots who should be rotting in jail, right next to the racists, and rapists for their bigotry. Alternative medicine is objecting to clearly non-drug forms of treatment being blindly subjected to a drug testing paradigm by a bunched of bigots. News flash: alternative medicine has been and continues to be tested. Seems simply enough to me, what part don't you understand?]

"Many advocates of alternative medicine, in contrast, believe the scientific method is simply not applicable to their remedies. They rely instead on anecdotes and theories." [Only in the warped sense of perception of a science bigot. Different forms of treatment, clearly are more challenging to test for then the typical science bigot is able to comprehend. That is why bigots really suck at research methodology. They are closed minded and just plain ignorant.]

"In 1992, Congress established within the National Institutes of Health an Office of Alternative Medicine to evaluate alternative remedies. So far, the results have been disappointing." [Only in the warped sense of perception of a science bigot. I find the results so far to be quite thrilling. Maybe the problem is that all bigots are fundamentally negative, rotten people, with a major attitude problem?]

sci.med: Are all Science Bigots Absurdists?

"In contrast, anecdotes about alternative remedies (usually published in books and magazines for the public) have no such documentation and are considered sufficient in themselves as support for therapeutic claims. [Only in the warped sense of perception of a science bigot. Yeah, right, sure: the branch of medicine called Integrative medicine and Andrew Weil, MD, don't exist if you are a bigot.]

"Accordingly, herbs or mixtures of herbs are considered superior to the active compounds isolated in the laboratory." [