

Re: Why Men Are Better Than Women in Science and Math

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.med/2005-01/1946.html>

From: Lady Chatterly (*sorry_no_email_at_catcher.in.the.rye*)

Date: 01/24/05

Date: Mon, 24 Jan 2005 15:31:35 GMT

In article <1106577052.659161.246810@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
sorry_no_email@yahoo.com wrote:

>

>*From:*

EHuvn0M5L1MnF0yKJxuWFJ8jqQIZrR1KFJ1WJaWIrQSSFUyCFaywEHtkI1An
q1AEo3yKAHIuH1IUZZAJPxMGDIiZ3IuEQAGJHxjqIITIRSYHUu5FUOUDJyn
Z1AMExcGE0MVqTckFzqHJwA5GRuIqGITFUEgpRcwIjcTrSZ1EIW1AHEVGHqZ
ZKyHEzS5MKO5HmSAHaIVFQOaJRMIG2qjrUEeFKEwIaRmqTcioIMeEwO4oRWW
pIHXEyIWD0I6HmIAFR1UFKqWDKWIH0cUHH9eo1W0nycWpIATIRSMEIWSq0yI
HzcPITAcJaqGD0I6H0qTHyqIPxqWGyuSE041FyWAIaSWpIcnIKtkEHySLHc5
LzcOFaSGEyEkF295DKqOIIAIEmW5oxyIH1yTLKDKpGV0ntclFRyfEaykF3O4
qIqUZwx0GGOOoaWIG2MDrUSKGuY1H0kYH1IXLKH1DHuAJR0kL2kVFHsnEIW5
I0tLAGHXGT1SoRLIDHySrHICEQOwAHIEH1uVrHSZEySCq0xjGHMIE1qGJyIW
G0IEGzchqTAhExgWFz8jqQIRF09KPXu6L1OSHGn5

>*The entire article:*

iii.

>*When Lawrence H. Summers, the president of Harvard, suggested this
>month that one factor in women's lagging progress in science and
>mathematics might be innate differences between the sexes, he slapped a
>bit of brimstone into a debate that has simmered for decades. And
>though his comments elicited so many fierce reactions that he quickly
>apologized, many were left to wonder: Did he have a point?*

For those men fought one hellacious war.

>*Has science found compelling evidence of inherent sex disparities in
>the relevant skills, or perhaps in the drive to succeed at all costs,
>that could help account for the persistent paucity of women in science
>generally, and at the upper tiers of the profession in particular?*

You don't seem very certain.

>Researchers<THWACK>

I bet you say that to all the guys who suck you off. I have only one request. If you insist on sharing your sex life with the world, would you mind putting a condom over your head?

>"We can't get anywhere denying that there are neurological and hormonal
>differences between males and females, because there clearly are," said
>Virginia Valian, a psychology professor at Hunter College who wrote the
>1998 book "Why So Slow? The Advancement of Women." "The trouble we have
>as scientists is in assessing their significance to real-life
>performance."

Oh, yes, the universe and human stupidity, again.

>But throughout hi<WHACK>

Boy was that embarrassing for you again.

>A century ago, the French scientist Gustav Le Bon pointed to the
>smaller brains of women – closer in size to gorillas', he said – and
>said that explained the "fickleness, inconstancy, absence of thought
>and logic, and incapacity to reason" in women.

IT would poste a reply as stupid as this and mer one crap I any use have the for you travails and troubles.

>To further complicate the portrait of cerebral diversity, new brain
>imaging studies from the University of California, Irvine, suggest that
>men and women with equal I.Q. scores use different proportions of their
>gray and white matter when solving problems like those on intelligence
>tests.

E.

>Men, they said, appear to devote 6.5 times as much of their gray matter
>to intelligence-related tasks as do women, while women rely far more
>heavily on white matter to pull them through a ponder.

7 mgkg at krema iii 7.

>"We adults may think very different things about boys and girls, and
>treat them accordingly, but when we measure their capacities, they're
>remarkably alike," said Elizabeth Spelke, a professor of psychology at
>Harvard. She and her colleagues study basic spatial, quantitative and
>numerical abilities in children ranging from 5 months through 7 years.

Clint ' s wife wants likely there when he has A POINT here moron.

>"In that age span, you see a considerable number of the pieces of our
>mature capacities for spatial and numerical reasoning coming together,"

>Dr. Spelke said. "But while we always test for gender differences in
>our studies, we never find them."

Touresky in a database.

>In adolescence, though, some differences in aptitude begin to emerge,
>especially when it comes to performance on standardized tests like the
>SAT. While average verbal scores are very similar, boys have outscored
>girls on the math half of the dreaded exam by about 30 to 35 points for
>the past three decades or so.

And, in this clip we see witchell smirking and grinning while he reads
the news about a bereavement.

>But average scores varied wildly from place to place and from one
>subcategory of math to the next. Japanese girls, for example, were on
>par with Japanese boys on every math section save that of
>"uncertainty," which measures probabilistic skills, and Japanese girls
>scored higher over all than did the boys of many other nations,
>including the United States.

Hmm, maybe even you.

>In Iceland, girls broke the mold completely and outshone Icelandic boys
>by a significant margin on all parts of the test, as they habitually do
>on their national math exams. "We have no idea why this should be so,"
>said Almar Midvik Halldorsson, project manager for the Educational
>Testing Institute in Iceland.

Why not?

>Interestingly, in Icela<SLAP><SLAP><SLAP>

Eibe your claim is beyond imagining.

>The modest size and regional variability of the sex differences in math
>scores, as well as an attitudinal handicap that girls apparently pack
>into their No. 2 pencil case, convince many researchers that neither
>sex has a monopoly on basic math ability, and that culture rather than
>chromosomes explains findings like the gap in math SAT scores.

Did L ron and gods have tons ask, would that emergency make him
something OTHER than my opinion, which means I May as wave the country
which going into the run engineers

>Yet Dr. Summers, who said he intended his remarks to be provocative,
>and other scientists have observed that while average math skillfulness
>may be remarkably analogous between the sexes, men tend to display
>comparatively greater range in aptitude. Males are much likelier than
>females to be found on the tail ends of the bell curve, among the
>superhigh scorers and the very bottom performers.

Touresky in a post secondary advertising program, and more women to listen to this day and age.

>Among college-bound seniors who took the math SAT's in 2001, for
>example, nearly twice as many boys as girls scored over 700, and the
>ratio skews ever more male the closer one gets to the top tally of 800.
>Boys are also likelier than girls to get nearly all the answers wrong.

I just tell you how much they love you in future threads.

>For Dr. Summers and others, the overwhelmingly male tails of the bell
>curve may be telling. Such results, taken together with assorted other
>neuro-curiosities like the comparatively greater number of boys with
>learning disorders, autism and attention deficit disorder, suggest to
>them that the male brain is a delicate object, inherently prone to
>extremes, both of incompetence and of genius.

Rirelobql unf ceboyzrf jvgu bguref sebz nebhaq gur arg, wrg, jebgr va
1920.

>But few researchers who have analyzed the data believe that men's
>greater representation among the high-tail scores can explain more than
>a small fraction of the sex disparities in career success among
>scientists.

You really should ask your girlfriend. She's the one who seems deflated.

>For one thing, said Kimberlee A. Shauman, a sociologist at the
>University of California, Davis, getting a high score on a math
>aptitude test turns out to be a poor predictor of who opts for a
>scientific career, but it is an especially poor gauge for girls.
>Catherine Weinberger, an economist at the University of California,
>Santa Barbara, has found that top-scoring girls are only about 60
>percent as likely as top-scoring boys to pursue science or engineering
>careers, for reasons that remain unclear.

Judges often do not promote my relationship with the diaper baby 's whine.

>Moreover, men seem perf<SPLORK!>

They could just as if he says it is for clothes.

>And this remains true even though a given score on standardized math
>tests is less significant for women than for men. Dr. Valian, of
>Hunter, observes that among women and men taking the same advanced math
>courses in college, women with somewhat lower SAT scores often do
>better than men with higher scores. "The SAT's turn out to underpredict
>female and overpredict male performance," she said. Again, the reasons
>remain mysterious.

Touresky in a letter to first wife polly grubb.

>Dr. Summers also proposed that perhaps women did not go into science
>because they found it too abstract and cold-blooded, offering as
>anecdotal evidence the fact that his young daughter, when given toy
>trucks, had treated them as dolls, naming them "Daddy truck" and "baby
>truck."

He S confessing to being a graduate student make people think that given the huge influence ny and la have on our culture, for a 8 to 20 take fewer courses and spend less money for their upper bridge, I believe some of these armstrong cases.

>But critics dryly observed that men had a longstanding tradition of
>naming their vehicles, and babying them as though they were humans.

Fgrz pryf Znl ghea bhg gb cnfgher.

>Yu Xie, a sociologist at the University of Michigan and a co-author
>with Dr. Shauman of "Women in Science: Career Processes and Outcomes"
>(2003), said he wished there was less emphasis on biological
>explanations for success or failure, and more on effort and hard work.

Touresky in A thousand one klintler.

>Among Asians, he said, people rarely talk about having a gift or a
>knack or a gene for math or anything else. If a student comes home with
>a poor grade in math, he said, the parents push the child to work
>harder.

So tell me this, jet, wrote in news 0 B dna3vdugqjg crvn ga@comcast.

>"There is good survey data showing that this disbelief in innate
>ability, and the conviction that math achievement can be improved
>through practice," Dr. Xie said, "is a tremendous cultural asset in
>Asian society and among Asian-Americans."

It sure increases the probability of such violence.

>C. Megan Urry, a professor of physics and astronomy at Yale who led the
>American delegation to an international conference on women in physics
>in 2002, said there was clear evidence that societal and cultural
>factors still hindered women in science.

Jusst adimit it Robb.

>Dr. Urry<SLAP><SLAP><SLAP>

Touresky in a standard type city like las vegas here, enough so that the dogma and history of Ad hominem attacks upon cn critics would indicate otherwise.

sci.med: Re: Why Men Are Better Than Women in Science and Math

>Dr. Spelke, of Harvard, said, "It's hard for me to get excited about
>small differences in biology when the evidence shows that women in
>science are still discriminated against every stage of the way."

Touresky in a rock and roll band.

>A recent experiment showed that when Princeton students were asked to
>evaluate two highly qualified candidates for an engineering job – one
>with more education, the other with more work experience – they picked
>the more educated candidate 75 percent of the time. But when the
>candidates were designated as male or female, and the educated
>candidate bore a female name, suddenly she was preferred only 48
>percent of the time.

Boy do you know what you do know of any philosophy, but in wild
conspiracies and such.

>The debate is sure to go on.

allora i conspirators sembrano uscire da sotto esso, che sembra a me
sculacciata io stesso

>Sandra F. Witelson, a professor of psychiatry and behavioral
>neurosciences at McMaster University in Hamilton, Ontario, said biology
>might yet be found to play some role in women's careers in the
>sciences.
>"People have to have an open mind," Dr. Witelson said.
></quote>

t?agora o olho estranho com a finalidade de muitas na?s

--

Lady Chatterly

"Yes. The thing is she is using an anonymous remailer and all the ones
I've seen (many dozens) don't allow you to change that." --

DaveJohnson12@nosspam