

Re: Safety of anal intercourse.

## Re: Safety of anal intercourse.

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.med/2005-05/msg00514.html>

---

- *From:* Carey Gregory <[tiredofspam123@xxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:tiredofspam123@xxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Tue, 31 May 2005 01:05:02 -0400
- 

jason@xxxxxxxxxxx (Jason) wrote:

>If you are not a doctor, I hope that you will also tell people in your posts:  
>"I am not a doctor, or any sort of trained medical professional, and I  
>have no idea what I'm talking about, even when it sounds like I do."

You just refuse to "get it," don't you?

David doesn't need a disclaimer like that as you do. Unlike you, his track record is solid and he clearly has knowledge and good judgment on when and what to answer, and when and what not to answer. On the occasions David gives medical advice at all -- which is rare, just as it is for most of us -- he does so only when it's obvious enough to be answered here on a newsgroup, and only when he's sure of his facts. That's probably the primary reason you see questions go unanswered -- their question can't be answered "safely."

As Dr. Fink pointed out, providing medical advice via the internet is inherently flawed to begin with. Most of us recognize that so we do so only rarely, and only when we feel it's "safe." Yes, some of us do answer on occasion because we feel it's important and we're sure the answer we're giving is correct. Sometimes we can put someone's mind at ease, or sometimes do the opposite and urge them to seek help immediately, or maybe offer some insight they haven't considered, but I think you'll find it's rare that such advice is given without qualification and a warning to seek professional medical advice.

>In addition, unless  
>we have lots of wonderful doctors and nurses that subscribe to this  
>newsgroup--not very many people will ever get any advice from anyone.

To quote a previous poster.... You say that like it's a bad thing.

This is not alt.support.med. This is sci.med. Please try to comprehend the difference. It was never intended for medical advice and still isn't, but if you insist on doing so, you damn well better get your facts straight or you should be prepared to have a new orifice drilled in your backside every time you screw up. We may not be good at answering every question, but we're very good at spotting bad answers.

Re: Safety of anal intercourse.

Re: Safety of anal intercourse.

And, if you consider that it's sci.med rather than alt.support.med, that's exactly as it should be.

---

- **Follow-Ups:**

- ◆ **Re: Safety of anal intercourse.**

- ◆ *From: Jason*

- **References:**

- ◆ **Safety of anal intercourse.**

- ◆ *From: Jim Spriggs*

- ◆ **Re: Safety of anal intercourse.**

- ◆ *From: Jason*

- ◆ **Re: Safety of anal intercourse.**

- ◆ *From: Tom Salls*

- ◆ **Re: Safety of anal intercourse.**

- ◆ *From: Jason*

- ◆ **Re: Safety of anal intercourse.**

- ◆ *From: David Wright*

- ◆ **Re: Safety of anal intercourse.**

- ◆ *From: Jason*

- Prev by Date: **Re: Question about Latent Conditions– TB, Cancer**

- Next by Date: **Frequent biliary colic (severe pain without fever or vomit)**

- Previous by thread: **Re: Safety of anal intercourse.**

- Next by thread: **Re: Safety of anal intercourse.**

- Index(es):

- ◆ **Date**

- ◆ **Thread**