

Re: Foam in the Urine

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.med/2006-10/msg00004.html>

- *From:* "Robert1" <Goldentouchman@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 3 Oct 2006 01:32:30 -0700
-

BrainDead@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

"Robert1" <Goldentouchman@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

BrainDead@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

"Robert1" <Goldentouchman@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

Jack Ruby wrote:

Some people just have a fixation with foam.
It is not clinically useful.

Maybe it should be (clinically useful).

If you read the link by the OP it states the purpose why someone would see it and then go to their doctor for more specific tests. I won't go through the entire discussion about men vs women and they length of the stream to generate foam along with the water content along with the state of hydration where somebody drinking more water or less water can alter concentration and thus foam.

Yes I've read your hypothesis in the past about the stream hitting the bowl and similar nonsense. Nor is concentration per se of any import. The big thing you're overlooking is that people are questioning because there has been a change in their body's processes. If it had anything to do with the stream hitting the bowl or concentration (presumably higher yellow color) that would happen to them as teenagers too. It doesn't generally speaking. It has something to do

Re: Foam in the Urine

with age but presumably age is a marker for some reduction in performance of part of the urinary process. What? How? Why?

There is no evidence out there to support your claims. It is n