

Re: Debunking Ken Seto in progress....

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.particle/2005-02/0184.html>

From: A.S. (arnoldschrod68_at_go.com)

Date: 02/16/05

Date: 16 Feb 2005 15:20:28 -0800

bryant_j_j@yahoo.com wrote:

- > *err, but you missed or forgot about the posting where Landle admits*
- > *that he is Caltechdude and he admits changing his nicks, it is not*
- > *merely nntp posting addresses. you can check one of the threads that*
- > *start off with Landle and then he swithches to What is Qi. From that*
- > *point on it is exactly the same guy. i could point to the specific*
- > *postings if you'd like but i don't know how to do it with this new*
- > *Google Groups thing. based on Landle's own admission, he is indeed*
- > *Caltechdude, Cinquirer and What is Qi. He is also Qion since the*
- > *nntp-posting address for Qion is exactly the same as What is Qi.*
- >
- > *look, i am just trying to do you a favor here, so you don't repeat*
- > *yourself to the same guy masquerading as someone else and reparting*
- > *the*
- > *same pathetic attempt to get you to "debunk" a specific crank*
- > *theories.*
- >
- >
- > *as for A.S., at first i was not convinced that he is indeed*
- > *Caltechdude, Landle, etc because like you his style at first looked*
- > *different. but then as time went on his style and sentences became*
- > *more*
- > *and more like that of Landle and his zillion other nicks. i the*
- > *crosschecked the nntp posting ip's and found that the one A.S. is*
- > *using*
- > *is that of Qion or What is Qi. why don't you just ask you buddy to*
- > *tell*
- > *you honestly if he is indeed all these other people. IMO, they are,*
- > *unless many people are using the same account (i.e. a mafia of pranic*
- > *healers taking turns logging in as A.S. and Qion, etc).*
- >
- > *bye.*

The "bizarre reason" can be explained in a simple way.

Bryan, do you notice that when people associate a message or thread as started by Qion like this thread (owing to your

replying to it immediately and stamping the "Qion" seal). There are few replies. So how could you expect Qion to start a thread on physics subjects unrelated to qi and expecting people to reply when just reading the "qi" word can already turn them off. This is likely the main reason Qion has to change nicks just to have a normal discussion of physics related thread. His changing nicks don't involve attacking people personality which would have made it bad had it been so.

By replying to this message and associating it to Qion or Qi. You destroyed the thread (this would also occur if he posted it using Qion). This is why Ken Seto is not here to defend himself for the atomic model inconsistency commented by Bjoern and you don't have Heymann, Uncle Al here for the discussions because they being sure only things that can be detected by EM based instruments exist hence reject anything that that does not conform to their Standard Model except for Dark Matter or others suggested by their peers.

In the other thread "Is Ken Seto Genius or Madman?" posted by me. You didn't associate it to "Qion" so the discussion is alive. So you now understand the "bizarre reason" is just a simple one.

Regards,

A.S.